Written by: John D. Voelker Ishpeming, Michigan

2 final,

The are you working on now?

Robert Traver

I am working on a new book—every writer is in some way always working on a new book—and I find this the most baffling and annoying question I am ever asked. To a man the few other writers I know mournfully agree with me. Why is this so?

of a Napoleon, the stamina of a water buffalo, and a smidgin of talent. He needs the ego to delude himself that anyone would give the slightest damn to read anything he might ever write; the stamina to get it written; and the wee dash of talent to get it read.

Ego, stamina, talent—these are the three basic ingredients which every writer must have; if he lacks any one of them he is probably no writer—though heaven knows he may be an egocentric bore—of which, alas, there is no shortage—or an ink-stained collector of pejection slips, or an artistic dilettante of awesome sensitivity. But he is no real writer. Most simply put, a writer is a tough, hard-working, self-centered bastard concavancely arms of whom can sensitive spell the words he uses.

Writing a book—especially a novel—of even the slightest
merit is probably one of the hardest tasks in the world. First
of all there must be the dream—what most nonwriters persistently
miscall the "plot"—the idea, the germ, the gnawing gnat that
clamors out. Without this vision there can be no book worth
publishing. Never. So the writer nurses and clings to and
feeds on this evanescent dream throughout the dreadful exhilarating

transfer from his mind to paper the luminories of the luminories of the luminories and the much successful and the luminories of the luminories and the luminories of the lumi

the full pure original dream, that is—and most of his books are monuments to his failure to capture the alusius thing he once dreamed. But first he must dream it and cling to it through hell or high water to come anywhere near overtaking it at all. Sounds all very arty doesn't it? It also happens to be the bitter solemn truth.

So when somebody sidles up to him at a cocktail party and archly inquires, "What are you working on now?" the writer is immediately alerted, hostile, wary, on guard. Who is this brash character that dares invade and destroy my dream?

Doesn't he (or she) know that if a book or even the dream of a book can be summed up in a sentence it is will be quite probably a very bad book? Don't these people know that if a writer tries to define his dream, to catch it on the wing, he by the very act risks destroying it forever? No, alas, he or she doesn't ever realize it—they never do. That's why they keep asking "The Question."

The Question is most often asked by people on the periphery of the writer's life: glib or sadistic literary interviewers, or on radio or TV, or by the same people trying bravely to save a sagging interview and keep the show on the road; perspiring autograph seekers ("No, Madam, I only <u>initial</u> paperbacks," I swear I am one day going to say); casual acquaintances; total strangers; and always, of course, the fluttering migratory butterflies one encounters at cocktail parties. "What are you working on now?" they coo. The question is almost invariably asked—and to the writer this is the final corroding thing—by people who couldn't care less.

"Don't race your motor," my wife warned me at a recent
party, finding me sulking in a corner and wanting to leave get hence
after being asked The Question. "People see you little enough—
you rarely take me anywhere—and when suddenly they do they
remember you are supposed to be a writer."

What do you mean 'supposed?

work, they ask what you working on. They only ask it to be civil and sort of to pass the time of day. They don't mean anything by it."

"That's precisely it," I fight back, "they don't mean anything by it, they couldn't care less." I agitate the malting ice in my glass. "'Naturally they ask you about your work,'" I repeat caustically, glaring around the room. "See made love that dame over there—the one with the green hair—she's slapt with virtually every man in this room—but me."

"Yes, is your insomnia, I know."

"Don't interrupt! She's a real professional sleeper
Argunder-it's her work. Why don't you slip over real

friendly-like and ask her who she's sleeping with lately? Just
to sort of pass the time of day. It's a natural question."

"But that isn't the same thing and you know it."

"The hell it isn't, See old Goudge over there slopping

up martinis? Guess I'll pop over and ask him who he's been

embalming lately-besides himself. It's his work, you know.

Then we'll get in the car and run out and kind of casual-like depregnation; ask old Doc Parsons who he's aborting this evening. After all, it's his work. Then we'll-"

3

* * *

on my movel laughning Whitepools.

"You're utterly impossible—let's get out of here."
And so it goes.

The writer hates The Question then for many reasons: because he 's afraid of it—afraid he might try to answer—; because he is bothered by its impertinence and boundlessly annoyed by this bold, if usually thoughtless, invasion of his inmost privacy and of the fragile dream that enables him to write at all. Moreover frm long exposure to The Question he knows that it reveals far more about the questioner than it ever elicits from him about his work. He knows that the very few people with whom he might ever feel inclined to discuss his work are precisely the ones who never ask him; that that is probably why he and they became friends in the first place.

But occasionally the writer is fairly trapped, maybe by

Clickers
a former professor's wife or again by some dear old soul who

once knew his mother—someone he wouldn't gratuitously hurt

**with falst

for the world. "Ha, ha, ha," he may chortle delightedly.

"It—let me see—it's just a little historical thing all about

Indians, iron ore and injustice."

**The limit of home been working

But airy evasion is no use; one foolish question inspires still another. "How perfectly adorable—I simply can't wait to read it," she runs on. "Er—um—does anyone get raped?—seriously, I mean?"

The writer glances wildly around for an escape hatch but finds none. He smiles fatuously, wagging his finger at his questioner. "You'll see," he says, the charming old tease.
"I wouldn't spoil it for you for anything."

XX

"I simply can't wait," she says, gaily fluttering on her way.

Neither can the writer-to get the hell out of there, that is.

Robert Traver has written eight books, including three novels, the first being ANATOMY OF A MURDER and the latest LAUGHING WHITEFISH, "just a little historical thing all about Muchanta," Indians iron ore and injustice," he eraptically explains.)

But occasionally the writer is fairly trapped, maybe by a former teacher's wife or again by some dear old soul who once knew his mother—someone he wouldn't gratuitously hurt for the world. "Ha, ha, ha," he may chortle with false delight. "It—let me see—it's just a little historical thing all about Indians, iron ore and injustice." (I happened to have been working on my novel LAUGHING WHITEFISH.)

But airy evasion is no use; one foolish question inspires another. "How perfectly adorable—I simply can't wait to read it," she runs on. "Er—um—does anyone get raped?—seriously, I mean?"

The writer glances wildly around for an escape hatch but finds none. He smiles fatuously, wagging his finger at his questioner. "You'll see," he says, the charming old tease.
"I wouldn't spoil it for you for anything."

"I simply can't wait," she says, gaily fluttering on her way.

Neither can the writer-to get out of there, that is.

2 final please

+

But occasionally the writer is fairly trapped, maybe by a former teacher with or again by some dear soul who once knew his mother—someone he wouldn't gratuitously hurt for the world. "Ha, ha, ha," he may chortle with false delight.
"It—let me see—it's just a little historical thing all about them iron ore and injustice." (happened to have been working on an novel LAUGHING WHITEFISH.)

But airy evasion is no use; one foolish question inspires another. "How perfectly adorable—I simply can't wait to read it," she runs on. "Er—um—does anyone get raped?—seriously, I mean?" Like in your accidency of a Mursley."

The weiter glances wildly around for an escape batch but

"You'll see," he says, the charming old tease.
"I wouldn't spoil to for you for anything."

"I simply can't wait," she says, gaily fluttering on her

Neither can the writer—to get out of there, that is.

It "anatomy of a murder", he wereds her gently, glavering weldy around for an escape hatch but finding mone. So he smiles fatuously, and way his finger at his questioner.

I Danna: I changed "incommice" thing. Thanks. Ino]

Written by: John D. Voelker Ishpeming, Michigan

2 fmål

WHAT ARE YOU WORKING ON NOW?

by

Robert Traver

I am working on a new book—every writer is in some way always working on a new book—and I find this the most baffling and annoying question I am ever asked. To a man the few other writers I know mournfully agree with me. Why is this so?

A writer may be defined as a person who possesses the ego of a Napoleon, the stamina of a water buffalo, and a smidgin of talent. He needs the ego to delude himself that anyone would give the slightest damn to read anything he might ever write; the stamina to get it written; and the wee dash of talent to get it read.

Ego, stamina, talent—these are the three basic ingredients

which every writer must have; if he lacks any one of them he is

probably no writer—though heaven knows he may be an egocentric

bore—of which, alas, there is no shortage—or a scribbling and

evolution of

ink—stained slave who collects rejection slips, or an artistic

dilettante

dillitante of awesome sensitivity. But he is no real writer. Must simply but

A writer is a tough, hard-working, self-centered bastard who corn sometimes

can spell the words he wass.

writing a book—especially a novel—of even the slightest merit is probably one of the hardest tasks in the world. First of all there must be the dream—what most nonwriters persistently miscall the "plot"—the idea, the germ, the gnawing gnat that clamors out. Without this dream there can be no book worth publishing. Never. So the writer nurses and clings to and feeds on this evanescent dream throughout the dreadful exhilarating

June 29, 1966 Mr. Francis Brown, Editor New York Times Book Review Times Square New York, New York 10036 Dear Mr. Brown: I enclose a piece called "What Are
You Working On Now?" which I hope you can
use should it happen to amuse you. If not
you can return it in the accompanying
envelope. All good wishes. Sincerely,

Saturday Review



June 20, 1966

Dear Mr. Voelker:

Your humorous essay filtered down to
the Phoenix Nest, its natural home.
But its tone is too leisurely for a one
page feature like mine, which uses shorter
(500 wds), more pointed casuals. WHAT ARE YOU
WORKING ON, an intriguing piece, sounds more
like something the Atlantic's "Accent On
Living" department might like. Might you have
something else around, that would suit the
Nest?

With all good wishes,

from: MAR

THE PHOENIX NEST

June 14, 1966 Mr. Martin Levin Editorial Office Saturday Review 380 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10017 Dear Sir: It is now nearly two months since I sent you a thing called "What Are You Working On Now?" This is too long to wait, even for an acceptance, so I'll resolve the issue by withdrawing it from your consideration. Please send the thing back. Sincerely,

May 23, 1966 Mr. Martin Levin Editorial Office Saturday Review 380 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10017 ,on Dear Sir: On April 27th I sent your magazine a piece called "What Are You Working On Now?" On April 29th Alfred Balk wrote me he was passing it to you. The purpose of this letter is not to rush your decision but to tell you I have some corrections to make and to make sure you don't run the thing without them. Regards,

Saturday Review 380 Madison Avenue, New York, N. Y. 10017 Alfred W. Balk Feature Editor April 29, 1966 Mr. John D. Voelker P.O. Box 385 Ishpeming, Michigan Dear Mr. Voelker: Many thanks for your short, "What Are You Working on Now?" I have passed it along to Martin Levin, who edits our column the Phoenix Nest, and you will hear from him direct when he has reached a decision about using it. Sincerely Belle AB/g

April 22, 1966

Editorial Office Saturday Review 380 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10017

Gentlemen:

I enclose a sort of literary essay called "What Are You Working On Now?"—which pretty well sums up what it is all about. If it should have been directed to some special editor or department, please refer it there.

I used to review books for your magazine before, and in a bind, I simply had to decline one, and that alas was that. (I am not trying to get back at it; simply giving you some background.) Back in the late forties I also had a lead article and an atomic fable in your magazine. I write under the pen name of Robert Traver, ANATOMY OF A MURDER being my best-known book.

Sincerely,

WHAT ARE YOU WORKING ON NOW?

by

Robert Traver

I am working on a new book—every writer is in some way always working on a new book—and I find this the most baffling and annoying question I am ever asked. To a man the few other writers I know mournfully agree with me. Why is this so?

A writer may be defined as a person who possesses the ego of a Napoleon, the stamina of a water buffalo, and a smidgin of talent. He needs the ego to delude himself that anyone would give the slightest damn to read anything he might ever write; the stamina to get it written; and the wee dash of talent to get it read.

Ego, stamina, talent—these are the three basic ingredients
which every writer must have; if he lacks any one of them he is
probably no writer—though heaven knows he may be an egocentric
bore—of which, alas, there is no shortage—or a scribbling
ink-stained slave who collects rejection slips, or an artistic
dillitante of awesome sensitivity. But he is no real writer. Mast simply fact,

A writer is a tough, hard-working, self-centered bastard who can sometimes
can spell the works he was

Writing a book—especially a novel—of even the slightest
merit is probably one of the hardest tasks in the world. First
of all there must be the dream—what most nonwriters persistently
miscall the "plot"—the idea, the germ, the gnawing gnat that
clamors out. Without this dream there can be no book worth
publishing. Never. So the writer nurses and clings to and
feeds on this evanescent dream throughout the dreadful exhilarating

2 final, please (apr. 21, 966) John D. Walker John M. Midsigan

WHAT ARE YOU WORKING ON NOW?

by

Robert Traver

I am working on a new book—every writer is in some way always working on a new book—and for some reason I find this the most baffling and annoying question I am ever asked. And to a man the few other writers I know agree with me. Why is this so?

A writer may be defined as a person who possesses the ego of a Napoleon, the stamina of a water buffalo, and a smidgin of talent. He needs the ego to delude himself that anyone would give the slightest damn to read anything he might ever write; the stamina to get it written; and the will dash of talent to get it read.

wee >

Ego, stamina, talent—these are the three basic

(which bury must have; if ather

ingredients of the writer If he lacks any one of the three

he is probably no writer though he may be an egocentric

bore—of which, alas, there is no shortage—or a daily

a southling inh—stained who collects rejection slips;

stave chained to his cluttered desk, or an artistic

dillitante of awesome sensitivity. But he is no real

writer. A writer is a tough, hard-working, self-centered bastard who can spell.

Writing a book-especially a novel-of any merit

is probably one of the hardest tasks in the world.

First of all there must be the elasive dream-what most nonwriters miscall the "plot"—the idea, the germ,

the gnawing gnat that clamors out. Without this there can be no book worth publishing. Never. So the writer

nurses and clings to and feeds on this evanescent dream

thoughout the dreadful exhilarating months of trying

to impale it on paper. He almost never does-the full

pure original dream, that is-and most of his books are

monuments to his failure to capture the beautiful thing

he once dreamed. But he must first dream it and cling through hell on high water overtaking

to it throughout to come anywhere near to catching it

at all. Sounds all very arty doesn't it? It also happens

to be the solemn truth.

+

and brightly inquires, "What are you working on now?" the warry and brightly inquires, "What are you working on now?" the warry on guard. Who is this brash character that dares invade and destroy my dream? Doesn't he (or she) know that if a book or even the dream of a book can be summed up in a sentence it is going to be quite probably a fame bad book? Don't have been that if a writer tries to define his family dream, to catch it on the wing, he by the very act risks destroying it forever? No, alas, he she are it doesn't ever realize it—they never do. That's why they keep asking The Question.

The question is most often asked by people on the

periphery of the writer's life: glib or sadistic

literary interviewers or on radio or TV, or by the

same people simply trying to save a sagging interview

and keep the show on the road; perspiring autograph

seekers ("No, Madam, I only initial paperbacks," I am

some days tempted to say); casual acquaintances; total

strangers; and always, of course, the fluttering

migratory butterflies one days encounters at cocktail parties. to the writer

What are you working on now?" they coo. In other

The question is invariably asked—
words—and this is the corroding knowledge to the

weller-by people who couldn't care less.

SHAHLHON

party, finding me pouting in a corner and wanting to soleane after being asked The Question. "People see you little enough—you rarely take my anywhere—and when suddenly they do they remember you are supposed to be a writer."

"What do you mean 'supposed?'"

"Don't interrupt! So naturally since writing is Juney ask what you're working on, to be civil and sort of to pass the time of day. They don't mean anything by it."

mean anything by it, they couldn't care less." I stare

the melting ice in my capty glass. "'Naturally they

ask you about your work,'" I repeat caustically, glaring

around the room. "See that dame over there—the one with

the green hair—she's slept with virtually every man in

this room—but me."

"Yes, it's your insomnia, I know."

"Don't interrupt! She's a real professional sleeper—arounder—it's her work. Why don't you slip over real friendly—like and ask her who she's sleeping with lately?

Just to sort of px pass the time of day. It's a natural question."

"But that isn't the same and you know it."

"The hell it isn't. See old Goudge are over there below over and slopping up martinis? Guess I'll see ask him who he's been embalming lately—besides himself. It's his work, you know. Then we'll get the car and run out and kind easual—like of friendly like ask old Doc Parson's who he's aborting this evening. After all it's his work. Then we'll—"

"You're utterly impossible—let's get out of here."

And so it goes.

because he's afraid of it, afraid he might try to answer --;

the because he is bothered by its impertinence and bound
lessly annoyed by this bold, if usually thoughtless,

invasion of his inmost privacy, the thing that enables

him to write at all. Moreover from long exposure to

The Question he knows that it reveals far more about to

the questioner than it ever elicits from him about his

work. He knows that the few people with whom he might ever

feel inclined to discuss his work are precisely the ones

who never ask him; that that is probably why he and they

became friends in the first place.

But occasionally the writer is fairly trapped, maybe by a former professor's wife or again by some dear old soul who once knew his mother--someone he wouldn't gratuitously hurt for the world. "Ha, ha, ha," he may chortle delightedly. "It--let me see--it's just a little historical thing all about Indians, iron

But it's no use; one foolish question inspires still

Authority

another. "How adorable—I simply can't wait to read it, she huns on.

Er-um-does anyone get raped?--seriously, I mean?"

+

The writer glances wildly around for escape, There at his question.

The sailes fatuously, wagging his finger, "You'll

see," he says, the porfect old tease. "I wouldn't went

The spoil it for you for authory."

The says, flutturing on her way.

The says, flutturing on her way.

"I simply can't wait did to good as late to the theoretic former."

Neither can the writer—to get the hell out of there, I mean: That is.

(Robert Traver has written eight books, including three novels, the first being ANATOMY OF A MURDER and the latest LAUGHING WHITEFISH, "just a little historical thing all about Indians, iron ore and injustice," he cryptically explains.)

1 st Type 4/11/66! more drupt please, clemma up went. WHAT ARE YOU WORKING ON NOW? Robert Traver I am working on a new book-every writer is in some way always working on a new book-and for some reason, I question about it find this question the most baffling and annoying I am and to a man the ever xxk asked. To a man the few other writers I know agree with me. Why is this so? A writer may be defined as a person who possesses the ego of a Napoleon, the stamina of a water buffalo, and a smidgin of talent. He needs the ego to delude himself that damn anyone would give the slightest good goddam to pay out real money to read anything he might write; the stamina to get it written; and the smidgin of talent to get it read. # Ego, stamina, talent-these are the three basic ingredients of the writer. If he lacks any one of the three he is no writer, He may be an egocentric bore-of which, akan alas, there is no shortage—a daily slave to his elattered desk, or an artistic dillitante of awesome sensitivity, but he a tough hard-working egocentric is no writer. The writer is

Occusionally

+

, especially a novel --

writing a book of any merit at all is probably one of

A all

the hardest tasks in the world. First there must be the

elusive dream—what most nonwriters miscall the "plot"—

the idea, the germ, the gnawing gnat that clamors out.

Without that, there can be no decemb book, Never. So

the writer nurses and clings to this evapescent dream

throughout the dreadful exhilarating months of trying

to impale his dream on paper. He almost never does—the

nyural

full pure dream, that is—and most of his books are monuments

casture the turn

to his failure to eatch his dream as he once dreamed in

aud cling to it throughout

But he must first dream it to come anywhere near to

the sales happened to the s

+

So when somebody sidles up to him at a cocktail party

brightly majories
and asks, "What are you working on now?" the writer is

"I immediately alerted, hartal hostile and on guard. Who

brash
is this character that dares invade and destroy my

dream? Doesn't he (or she) know that if a book tan be

summed up in a sentence it is quite probably a very

thus purple A transportation tries
bad book? Don't they know that if A tay to define while

elusive dream, to catch it on the wing, is thereby to

the light very act resh

impale and destroy it. No, alas, he, she or it doesn't flealige it—

they never do.

The light western.

A light well with they work that it a particular they never do.

The light well work that years the probably a very

and asks, "What are you working on now?" the wing work the well as the work they were a look

and asks, "What are you working on now?" the writer is

and asks, "What are you working on now?" the writer is

and asks, "What are you working on now?" the writer is

and asks, "What are you working on now?" the writer is

and asks, "What are you working on now?" the writer is

and asks, "What are you working on now?" the writer is

and asks, "What are you working on now?" the writer is

and asks, "What are you working on now?" the writer is

and asks, "What are you working on now?" the writer is

and asks, "What are you working on now?" the writer is

and asks, "What are you working on now?" the writer is

and asks, "What are you working on now?" the writer is

and asks, "What are you working on now?" the writer is

and asks, "What are you working on now?" the writer is

and asks, "What are you work!"

and asks, "Who are you work!"

and asks, "W

"Don't race your motor," my wife has warned me at a recent farty fuding me poulting in a corner parties, seeing no sulking and wanting to go after being asked The Question. "People see you little enough--you rarely take me anywhere--and when they do fouddenly they They remember you are supposed to be a writer." "What do you mean'supposed?"

Maturally surice writing in gain work

"Don't interrupt! So they ask you what you're working on, sort of to pass the time of day. They don't mean anything by it." "That's precisely it," I fight back, "they don't start the such supty mean anything by it, they couldn't care less." I what the such in my glass. "Just a natural question of I repeat caustically, glaring around the room. ** See that dame with the -- the one with the green han -green hair over there-she's slept with virtually every man in this room-but me."

"Yes, Ithax it's your insomnia, I know."

"Don't interrupt! The dame's a real professional er arounder. When work! sleeper arounder Why don't you slip over real friendly like

Jis ha work, reminded
and ask her who she's sleeping with lately? Just to sort of pass the time of day? (a natural friendly question, That
"But it isn't the same and you know it."

"The hell it isn't. See old Goudge over there

Mobilety slopping up martinis? & Guess I'll go ask him who he's

this work, your

been embalming lately—besides himself. Then we'll get the

car and run out and ask old Doc Parson's who kixx he's

aborting this evening. Then we'll—"

"You're utterly impossible--let's get out of here."

And so it goes.

the thing that enables him to write at all. The writer hates the Juestin then because his afraid of it, afraid he might answer it, because he is bother by its imputment and boundleyly annoys by this botel, if usually thoughters, unvasion of his privacy Moreover from long exposure to the Sustain he shows that it reneals for more about the questioner than it will ence their elicits from the him Horsto about his word. He knows that the few purple with whom he might fell wielmed to desceros his work ask precisely the ones who never ask him; that that is probably why they decume fromthe in the first place.

But its nouse; one fuchish question mispies another.

But occasionally the writer is fairly trapped, It might be by a professor's wife or some dear old soul who once knew his mother -- someone he wouldn't gratuitously hurt for the world. "Ha, ha, ha," he may chortle delightedly. "It--let me see--it's just a little historical thing all about Indians, iron ore and injustice."

Thy to love guestion sempts labelle How adorable—I simply can't wait to read it. Er - umw -
Accounty

Aloes anyone get raped? Land servicing of means?

Aloes anyone get raped? Land servicing of means?

But it isn't only that. It is the essential the bold invasion of privacy. impertinence of the question,/as though one is suddenly asked, "Who are you sleeping with these days?" The and bored writer is irritated and annoyed because the town long apposure to it he human the question reveals far more about the questioner than can elicity from about it ever does about the writer of his work. The few people whem he might feel inclined to tell a little

yould been about his new book almost never are the ones who ask

it of him.

Sprobably

him, They know; that's why he and they are friends in the

frist place.

What ARE YOU WORKING ON NOW?

I am curiting a new book -- chery writer is in always always on a new book -- and I find this question at the most bapping and annuying I am new asked. the servet the most baffling and annying I can get to a man The few atherwriters I know agree with me . Why is this so? a writer may be defined as a ferson who passesses the ego of a næpoleon, the stammin of a water lengtals, and a sundgin of talent. He needs the ego to felicle himself their anyone would give the slightest good goddayn to real anything he might arite; the stamme to get it written; the smidgin of talout to get it well and read. Ego, stamma, talent - there are the three basis ingridients of the writer. If he lacks any me he is no writer. He may be a sure be is no writer. He may be a sure be dealy of the three of allower in more shortage - a slave to his cluttered deals or an artistic delitante, luct he is no worth. He writer than is a tough hard - working igo rentric bastard who can spell to Water. Writing a least of any merel is probably one of the pardest tasks in the world, First there must be the elegane dream -- what most non-writer micall the "plat -- the iclea, the germ the quat that claners out. Without that there can be no work, the writer Never, the Whe writer clings to this, dream throughout the dreadful exhibarating months of trying to get it down on paper. He about merce dolo - the full fure dream, that is - and most books are monuments this failure of to catch his dreum or he dreumed it, But he must first dream it to come anywhere near at all, all very arty, intit? So when samebody sielles up to him at a cochtail party and asks "What are you working on mow?" the writer is immediately allerted, hostell and on quand, who is this character that clarer invade and distray my drawn? Doesn't be (or she) Somew that if a book ear he summed Up in a sentence it is quiete probably a very bad book? Don't they know that if I try to define only chrom, to

the masin of frwary) 1

collect it on the wing, is thereby to impale and destrayie? no, alas, he, she or it doesn't - They merer do.

of the quietion, as though suddenly be asked, "who are you sleeping with these clays?" It is the The writer in unitated and annaged because he knows that the question reveals for more about the questionic that it ever does this about the writer or his work, The few people who he might feel inclined to tell a little about his new work book almost never are the and who ask him. They know that why he and they me freeds.

The question in most often asked by people on the people on the splitting of the writer's life; glib or sadistic intervences or an radio or TV, or by the same people simply trying to give a sagging interview and beep the show on the road; perspiring autograph seekers ("no, madam, I only initial papersuchs, I am some days tempted to say); casual acquaintances; total strangers; and always, of cause, the butterfless one encounters at cochtail parties. "What are you working an man ?" they coo . In other words - and this is the corroding browledge To the writer - - by people who canding care less.

But accasionally the writer is fairly trapped. It might be a professor wife or some dear soul who prew his morther -- someone he wouldn't gratuitainly hunt for the world, "Aa, ha, ha, he chortles delightedly, "It -let me sel - its just a little historial thing all about Inchares, son one and injustice."

"How adorable - I surply can't want to realise."

Does anyone get raped?"

The writer glances wildly around for escape. There is none. He smiles faturably, wagging his frugis. "You'll see," he says, the perfect old trace. I wouldn't want to spoil it for you.

I surphy can't wait. neither can the writer -- to get the hell out of these, I mean. Robert Fraves has written anatomy of a muscle being the front and Raughing Whitefield the latist, " just a little historical the thing all about Indians, wan are and myrim, I he explains.)

WHAT ARE YOU WORKING ON NOW?

by

Robert Traver

I am working on a new book—every writer is in some way always working on a new book—and I find this the most baffling and annoying question I am ever asked. To a man the few other writers I know mournfully agree with me. Why is this so?

A writer may be defined as a person who possesses the ego of a Napoleon, the stamina of a water buffalo, and a smidgin of talent. He needs the ego to delude himself that anyone would give the slightest damn to read anything he might ever write; the stamina to get it written; and the wee dash of talent to get it read.

Ego, stamina, talent—these are the three basic ingredients which every writer must have; if he lacks any one of them he is probably no writer—though heaven knows he may be an egocentric bore—of which, alas, there is no shortage—or an ink-stained collector of rejection slips, or an artistic dilettante of awesome sensitivity. But he is no real writer. Most simply character put, a writer is a tough, hard-working, self-centered bastard occasionally who can senetimes spell the words he uses.

writing a book—especially a novel—of even the slightest merit is probably one of the hardest tasks in the world. First of all there must be the dream—what most nonwriters persistently miscall the "plot"—the idea, the germ, the gnawing gnat that clamors out. Without this vision there can be no book worth publishing. Never. So the writer nurses and clings to and feeds on this evanescent dream throughout the dreadful exhilarating

transfer from his mirel to paper the luminous incandescent quality of the

months of trying to impale it on paper. He almost never does—
the full pure original dream, that is—and most of his books
are monuments to his failure to capture the clusive thing he
once dreamed. But first he must dream it and cling to it
through hell or high water to come anywhere near overtaking
it at all. Sounds all very arty doesn't it? It also happens
to be the bitter solemn truth.

So when somebody sidles up to him at a cocktail party and archly inquires, "What are you working on now?" the writer is immediately alerted, hostile, wary, on guard. Who is this brash character that dares invade and destroy my dream?

Doesn't he (or she) know that if a book or even the dream of a book can be summed up in a sentence it is or will a quite probably a very bad book? Don't these people know that if a writer tries to define his dream, to catch it on the wing, he by the very act risks destroying it forever? No, alas, he or she doesn't ever realize it—they never do. That's why they keep asking "The Question."

The Question is most often asked by people on the periphery of the writer's life: glib or sadistic literary interviewers or on radio or TV, or by the same people trying bravely to save a sagging interview and keep the show on the road; perspiring autograph seekers ("No, Madam, I only <u>initial</u> paperbacks," I swear I am one day going to say); casual acquaintances; total strangers; and always, of course, the fluttering migratory butterflies one encounters at cocktail parties. "What are you working on now?" they coo. The question is almost invariably asked—and to the writer this is the final corroding thing—by people who couldn't care less.

"Don't race your motor," my wife warned me at a recent
party, finding me sulking in a corner and wanting to leave gli here
after being asked The Question. "People see you little enough—
you rarely take me anywhere—and when suddenly they do they
remember you are supposed to be a writer."

"What do you mean 'supposed? ""

work they ask what you're working on. They only ask it to be civil and sort of to pass the time of day. They don't mean anything by it."

"That's precisely it," I fight back, "they don't mean anything by it, they couldn't care less." I agitate the melting ice in my glass. "'Naturally they ask you about your work,'" I repeat caustically, glaring around the room. "See that dame over there—the one with the green hair—she's slept with virtually every man in this room—but me."

"Yes, it's your insomnia, I know."

"Don't interrupt! She's a real professional sleeper—arounder—it's her work. Why don't you slip over real friendly—like and ask her who she's sleeping with lately? Just to sort of pass the time of day. It's a natural question."

"But that isn't the same thing and you know it."

"The hell it isn't. See old Goudge over there slopping up martinis? Guess I'll pop over and ask him who he's been embalming lately—besides himself. It's his work, you know. Then we'll get in the car and run out and kind of casual—like ask old Doc Parsons who he's aborting this evening. After all, it's his work. Then we'll—"

"You're utterly impossible--let's get out of here."
And so it goes.

The writer hates The Question then for many reasons: because he's afraid of it—afraid he might try to answer—; because he is bothered by its impertinence and boundlessly annoyed by this bold, if usually thoughtless, invasion of his inmost privacy, of the fragile dream that enables him to write at all. Moreover of the fragile dream that enables him to write at all. Moreover from long exposure to The Question he knows that it reveals far amore about the questioner than it ever elicits from him about his work. He knows that the very few people with whom he might ever feel inclined to discuss his work are precisely the ones who never ask him; that that is probably why he and they became friends in the first place.

But occasionally the writer is fairly trapped, maybe by trackers a former professor's wife or again by some dear old soul who once knew his mother—someone he wouldn't gratuitously hurt with talse for the world. "Ha, ha, ha," he may chortle delightedly.
"It—let me see—it's just a little historical thing all about Indians, iron ore and injustice."

But airy evasion is no use; one foolish question inspires atill another. "How perfectly adorable—I simply can't wait to read it," she runs on. "Er—um—does anyone get raped?—seriously, I mean?"

The writer glances wildly around for an escape hatch but finds none. He smiles fatuously, wagging his finger at his questioner. "You'll see," he says, the charming old tease.
"I wouldn't spoil it for you for anything."

"I simply can't wait," she says, gaily fluttering on her way.

Neither can the writer--to get the hell out of there, that is.

(Robert Traver has written eight books, including three novels, the first being ANATOMY OF A MURDER and the latest LAUGHING WHITEFISH, "just a little historical thing all about reluctantly Indians, iron ore and injustice," he cryptically explains.)