"The Large Economy Size." (Written April 30, 1952.)
"Pappenstern Monster"
(Revised May 20, 1952) May 1, 452 may 31, 1952 NOTE June 23 NOTE June 12

SRL atlantic

Shoughtful

List controvery over with

resine is nearly strictly

ruboten; the substitute is

asually outright defamation of

character or the petulianes of

the greeip volument.

Induced there are magazines devotably to refining and digesteny this stuff so that only the perce paper remains.

Like an itchy small boy with the hives, I can't keep my hands off "The Grant Economy brigh" which I sent you several weeks ago, I now enclose the latest version which I have re-named "Pappenstein's monster;"

I hope you will not sidly reject this thing (if it appeals to you because you know as well as I that the prospectifit towned ever appearing almost any other mag in amont in the pitch donk.

Or have our writers and the electors become at last both creators and helples victions of the Popperstand reader?

LATGE ECONOMY SIZE John O. Vallher. The great bulk of non-fiction writing appearing in our magazines is as smooth as old brandy, as soothing as an old bulkby -- and has diginated into a finfant pabelin made from a rigid formuly grangenteed to prevent growth in the child. most of it is written with a brish air of conviction, a perhy sort of brightness, but the end result is intellectual starvation day Reading this stuff day after is like trying to live on ersatz frod: one has a temporary sense of repletion, coccasionally even of satisty - - but is all the while slowly dying of mental malnutring. The said truth is that a whole vast segment of the american reading public is suffering from a curious form of intellectual hunger. The flood of this leterary hogward has today reached spidemis proportions.

### THE LARGE ECONOMY SIZE

the

Virtually all non-fiction writing in large-circulation American magazines air of conviction. A good malured entot today is pervaded by a brisk cheapness, a perky windiness, and a good deal of outright intellectual starvation. Reading this stuff day after day is like trying living on a diet ke of ersatz steak: one has a temporary sense of repletion, occasionally even of satisty—but is all the while slowly dying of mental malnutrition. The sad truth is that a whole vast segment of the American reading public is suffering from a curious sort of mak intellectual hunger.

"Had Truman been drunk the day the Reds invaded South Korea..." a typical smooth "think" piece might begin. Naturally the reader is at once beguiled by such an impudent notion, and is thereby wheedled into discovering why the writer thinks we should have—or should not have—intervened in Korea, winding up with the not entirely original notion that the course of history might have been changed if...

"On October seventh 1938 Cora Kennedy possessed an arthritic husband, one hundred and an old Chev and thirty-nine dollars" another of the cornier of these pieces might begin. Is gay, indomitable Cora downhearted? Hell, no. Instead she parks her arthritic spouse with a maiden aunt, leaps into the Chev, and sails out to found a thriving diaper service or—aided by a simple faith in God or just plain mysticism—discovers the therapeutic properties inherent in wild hazelnuts. "Today," the piece winds up, "Cora Kennedy is president and chairman of the board of Kennedy Products, Inc. with branches in all 48 states and the Virgin Islands." Now ain't that just dandy?

In this sort of magazine writing certain commandments have grown inviolate.

Always there must be the angle-known to the trade as the "gimmick"--and always there must be a beguiling opening gambit or a series of glittering baits to coax the jaded reader onward. Shock 'em, sock'em, but at all costs make 'em read! Intimate personal revelations are always good. Too Or, better yet, the tacit promise of the straight inside dope.

my for hander garden !!

"Only three men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be," begins about to a bar stool in the more men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be," begins about to a bar stool in the bar of a line of the bar of a line of the bar from "uninpeachable authority" or "an in the learn that the big three are the them Joe himself, Joe's secret fairhaired boy, Vladimir, and an aged monk in Joe's old hometown back in Georgia. One is presumably thrilled to be privy to such world-shaking revelations. One is also being gassed to death by literary wind. world-shaking revelations. One is also being God knows that many of the manufacturers of this pap are adroit enough. many of them are supple writers possessed of supple minds. They have obediently learned all of the commandments and have faithfully followed all of the taboos. Conformity is their watchword and one could rarely guesz which of them wrote his pieces unless he signed them. And never never the write who has have the March ship of a spinster must they let their facts show. That is the ultimate obscenity; that is state a solemn fact and commandment Number One! Bedizen your facts, sugar-coat them, reflect them with mirrors if you must, but upon pain of rejection never bet your reader suspect that you may be exposing him to a germ of genuinely original or stimulating idea. For above all he must leave your piece as unruffled and empty as he came to it. One can no longer escape the notion that the bulk of our periodical writing, like the products of Hollywood, is cynically directed at the minds of a six-year-old children. What this must be doing to the readers, not to mention the writers themselves, is faintly appalling to contemplate There must be no meat, no guts, no anger. The package must glitter and us, it come wrapped in hygenic cellophane just like in the accompanying ads. It is infant large economy size. Under the fluent pens of these writers everything from foreign policy to frigidaires becomes a gimmick; a suave, bright, urbane, sophisticated unfolding of a jig saw puzzle that turns out, lo, to have been no puzzle at all; either that or apuzzle that is created where indeed there was no puzzle at all. One puts up his money and takes his choice. Anyway there'll be a prize-fight on Channel Two in twenty minutes. Tomorrow all will be forgotten.

Why must this sorry state of affairs prevail? Is it that there are too dome many magazines demanding too much pap and—conversely—too few initiates (that is, writers who have learned the formula) writing way too much about that which they do not know, and about that which they do not genuinely feel? Is it true that the lack of any emotional as well as intellectual involvement in the subject is at once the curse and sure signpost of this sort of writing?

One thing is sure:

The comparative handful of stimulating thinkers in our midst who also have the gift of words are either being driven to adopt the glib formula or are being driven over to the low-circulation, low-paying literary or esoteric periodicals. In the one field their message necessarily remains beclouded by pap and in the other it is completely ignored by the Great Starved American Public. The latter are the losers both ways.

It is a frequently repeated and doubtlessly sound proposition that an informed public opinion is a basic keystone of a sound democracy. One wonders now informed and sound the opinions are that are being nourished on this epidemic hogwash. One also wonders whether there is an editor in our midst with the courage and vision to rise up and try to erack this arid formula. Equally important, is there a reading public remaining in this country that would keep him from starving while he tried it? Step up, folks!

Don't miss our next installment that begins: "Phillip Panther, editor, awoke one morning with an magazine devoted to purveying pap, three Cadillacs, a humbred and and thirty-nine thousand dollars. He wanted suddenly to start a magazine for adults."

Read all about it; folks! It's a every story.

I nd . draft. apr. 30, 19\$2 Standen anny Plenty John & Vollan Trying to being on a dick of All who of the darge Geons my Size Virtually all non-fiction writing in american magazinis is today pervaded by a brish cheapness, a good deal of outright a brish cheapness, a good deal of outright a perhy windiness— and intellectural starvation. Reading this shiff is like testing ertsety food: one has a temporary sense of repletion, not satisfy.

repletion - but the content is devoid of mulmutrition. "Had Truman been dremp the lay the Reds month "think" might begin The reader is at once beginded by such an impudent notion, and is thereby coased into freeding why the writer think we should -- or should not have -- interessed ni Korea, wriching up with the not entirely original notion that the course of history might have been changed if the seventh "On 1938 Cora Kennedy possessed an dollars begins, and the pucces Is gay, indomitable Cora clownhearted? Hell, no.

Instead Itel parks her arthritic spouse with an aunit, leaps with the Chev, and sails out to found for a thriving diaper service of or discovers the therapeutic properties wherent in wild hazelnuts. "Today Cora Kennedy is president and chairmain of the board of Kennedy Products, with branches in all 48 states and the the possession. Virgin Islands. "Now ain't that dands? Olways there must be the "gimmich"
In this sort of writing certain there must be the grown inviblate angle -- known to the trude as the "gummieb" - - and always there must be a beguiling opening gambet or a series of glittering bants to coax the gaded render onward. Shock in, sock in, but make in read! Intimate personal revealations are always good, two. Or, the promise of the straight made dope. Inly three men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be; begins

another pice, Several thousand words later we learn that the three are for bring himself, Joes fairhaired boy, and an in aged month in Joes old hometown. One is presumebly thrilled to be priving to such world- shaking reverlations. One is drying af by literary wind. god knows that the manufactures of this pap are advoit enough. They have Conformity is their watch word and one auche never ques who wrote their prices under and get of the taboos. And never never to have faithfully followed harts the yeltmate observing the taboos show they let their facts show they let is the facts show they let their facts show they let is they be the facts show they have a sixty of the taboos they have the sixty of the taboos of the prices of the same Commandment Kumber One! Bedigin them, sugar court them, do it with murrors, but upon pain of rejections your reader suspect that you may be efforing him to the germ of a genuncly for Oblove all he original or stimulating idea. He must leave your piece unruffed and as empty as he came to it. The net result is Why must this state of affairs, with there are too many magazines demograting there are too many magazines demograting too much pap and two few months. who have learned the formula writing too much about which they do not know about and about that which they do not genuinely feel.

The net result is that the comparative handful of genuine thinkes in our midst who also have the gift of poords are either deriven to adopt they formula that won't adopt they formula that won't are deriven to the low-circulation or see driving to the low-circulation fremains on the one file their message in clouded by pape file their message is clouded and in the other their message is clouded by the Great other their message is groved by the Great stand the great others.

Is there are editor in our midst with the coverage and vision to rise up and try to crack this, formula? Is there as public remaining in this country that would keep him from starving while he was trying it? Read, our next mouth aware that begins. Thillip Panther awohe one day with an arthritic magazin, an old Cher, and thirty-mine dollars." Find all about it!

12to 1452 THE LARGE ECONOMY SIZE mon-friction Writing for apperican magazines is today pervaled by cheapness, a breathless sort of avendences, and a hell of a last it. hell of a last of intellectual malnutrition. The article must open with a grimmicle, a tengling shock of which the opening of this price is a fair example. "He "Had Framan been downto the day the Reds minded Browth Rosew." is the cost of things a series of baits are officed the reader to coak plain to continue; beginning little anecdotes, coasial promises of beginning little anecdotes, costal promises of mide clope, - "Only three men in the world Avoro who Stalins successor will be ... God knows that the manifecturers of this stuff are adroit enough; their worst youts usually contain the germ of a stimulating roles; and never, never do they let their facts show through

There is mo guto, no anger.

The package glitters and comes
wrapped allophane. It is the large
Aconomy size.

of these writers Under The peris foreign soling to frigidaires becomes a guinmiel; a snave, bright, impoling of a gig saw puzzle that turns out to have been no puzzle at all; either that, or a puzzle we is created where there was no purgle cet all. If One pute up prize fight his shoring and takes his choice. This whomey and takes his choice. The albertia method forgotten any intimity. I morrow all of forgotten any

lot Tit

#### THE LARGE ECONOMY SIZE

Virtually all non-fiction writing in American magazines today is pervaded by a brisk cheapness, a perky windiness—and a good deal of outright intellectual starvation. Reading this stuff day after day is like living on a diet of er satz steak: one has a temporary sense of repletion, occasionally even of satiety—but is all the while slowly dying of mental malnutrition. The sad truth is that a whole wast segment of the American reading public is suffacing from a currous and "Had Truman been drunk the day the Reds invaded South Korea..." a

typical smooth "think" piece might begin. Rrakisally Naturally the reader is at once beguiled by such an impudent notion, and is thereby coaxed into discovering why the writer thinks we should have—or should not have—intervened in Korea, winding up with the not entirely original notion that the course of history might have been changed if...

"On October seventh 1938 Cora Kennedy possessed an arthritic husband, an old Chev and thirty-nine dollars" begins one of the cornier of these pieces. Is gay, indomitable Cora downhearted? Hell, no. Instead she parks her arthritic spouse with a maiden aunt, leaps into the Chev, and sails out to found a thriving diaper service—aided by a simple faith in God or plain mysticism discovers the therapeutic properties inherent in wild hazelnuts.

"Today," Cora Kennedy is president and chairman of the board of Kennedy Products, he.

with branches in all 48 states and the Virgin Islands." Now ain't that dandy?

In this sort of magazine writing certain commandments have grown inviolate.

Always there must be the angel—known to the trade as the "gimmick"—and

always there must be a beguiling opening gambit or a series of glittering but at all easts

baits to coax the jaded reader onward. Shock tim, sock tim, and make 'am read!

Intimate personal revelations are always good, too. Or, better yet, the promise of the straight inside dope.

"Only three men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be," begins another piece by a man who spent six weeks in Moscow. Several thousand words later we learn that the big three are Joe himself, Joe's secret fairhaired boy, Vladmir, and an aged monk in Joe's old hometown in Georgia. One is presumably thrilled to be privy to such world-shaking revelations. One is also being gassed to death by literary wind.

God knows that many of the manufacturers of this pap are adroit enough. They have obediently learned all of the commandments and faithfully followed could kertuin all of the taboos. Conformity is their watchword and one would never guess the which of them there his he wrote their pieces unless they signed them. And mever, never must they let their Redizen them, sugar coat them, to it with more relatively original or stimulating idea. For above all he must leave your piece as unruffled and empty as he came to it. One can not longly except the nonwhy must this state of affairs pervail? One big reason is that there are facts show. That is the ultimate obscenity; that is commandment Number One:

about that which they do not know, and about that which they do not genuinely

feel? It true that lack.

at ance the curse and signpost of this sort of writing. At any rate the net result of all this is that the comparative handful of genuine

thinkers in our midst who also have the gift of words are either driven to adopt the glib formula or are dix driven to the low-circulation literary or esoteric periodicals. In the one field their message necessarily remains Ex beclouded by pap and in the other it is completely ignored by the Great

Starved American Public.

Is there an editor in our midst with the courage and vision to rise up and try to crack this stullifying formula? Is there a reading public remaining in this country that would keep him from starving while he tried it? Read Orit must editor, our next installment that begins: "Phillip Panther (awoke one day with an arthritic magazine, an eld Chev, and thirty-nine (dollars." Find out all about it!

And to mother periodical writing,
the bulk of our periodical writing,
like the products of Hollywood, en is
cymially directed at the minds of a six-yearold children.

(Insert B)

There is no meat, no guts, no anger. The package glitters and come wrapped hygenic in cellophane. It is the large economy size. Under the fluent pens of these writers everything from foreign policy to frigidaires becomes a gimmick; a suave, bright waxk urbane, sophisticated unfolding of a jig saw puzzle that turns out to have been no puzzle at all; either that, or a puzzle is created where indeed there was no puzzle at all. One puts up his money and takes his choice. There'll be a prize fight on Channel Two in twenty minutes, anyway. Tomorrow all will be forgotten.

Alt is a frequently repeated and doubtlessly council proposition that an informed public, is a basic heystone of a sound democracy. One wonders how informed and bear sound the opinions are that are being nourished on this hogwash. One also wonders whether there is

Why must this sorry state of affairs prevail? Is it that there are too damn many magazines demanding too much pap and--conversely--too few initiates (that is, writers who have learned the formula) writing way too much about that which knex they do not know, and about that which they do not genuinely feel? Is it true the any that lack of emotional as well as intellectual involvement is at once the curse and signpost of this sort of writing? At any rate the net result of all this is that the comparative handful of stimulating thinkers in our midst who also have the gift of words are either driven to adopt the glib formula or are driven over to the low-circulation, low-paying literary or esoteric periodicals. In the one field their message necessarily remains beclouded by pap and in the other it is completely ignored by the Great Starved American Public. The latter are the lusers both ways. and try to crack this stullifying formula? Equally important, is there a reading prom public remaining in this country that would keep him from starving Slip up, folls!
while he tried it? Don't miss our next installment that begins: "Phillip Panther, editor, awoke one morning with an arthritic magazine devoted to par pop, He wanted to start a magazine for wdults. three Cadillacs, and thirty-nine thousand dollars. Read all about it: H Read all about it!

man 1:05 the arms

CIANT
THE LARGE ECONOMY SIZE

by

John D. Voelker

The great bulk of non-fiction writing appearing in our popular magazines today is as smooth as old brandy, as soothing as an old lullaby—and as empty of intellectual content as a gourd. For the most part it had degenerated into a form of infant pabalum made from a rigid formula guaranteed to prevent growth in the child. At the same time most of it is written with a brisk air of conviction, a perky sort of brightness, but but the end result is sheer intellectual starvation.

Reading this stuff day after day is like trying to live on ersatz

food: one has a temporary sense of repletion, occasionally even of satiety—
but to all the while slowly of mental malnutrition. The sad truth
is that a whole vast segment of the American reading public is suffering
from a curious form of intellectual hunger. The flood of this literary hugue
hogwash has today reached epidemic proportions.

"Had Truman been drunk the day the Reds invaded South Korea..." a typical smooth "think" piece might begin. Naturally the reader is at once beguiled by such an impudent notion and is thereby wheedled into discovering why the writer thinks we should have—or should not have—intervened in Korea, winding up with the not entirely original notion that the course of history might have been changed if...

"On October seventh 1918 Cora Kennedy possessed an arthritic husband, an old Chev and one hundred and thirty-nine dollars" another of the cornier of these pieces might begin. Is gay, indomitable Cora downhearted? Hell no. Instead she parks her arthritic spouse with a maiden aunt, leaps into the Chev, and sails out to found a thriving diaper service or--aided by a simple

and in I have not been been been and it the land; it has

faith in God or just plain mysticism--discovers the tex therapeutic properties inherent in wild hazelnuts. "Today," the piece winds up, "Cora Kennedy is president and chairman of the board of Kennedy Products, Inc. with branches in all 48 states and the Virgin Islands. Her husband was cured by her hazelnuts, and har just won a hundred-yard dash. Now ain't that just dandy?

In this sort of magazine writing certain commandments have grown inviolate. Always there must be the angle--known to the trade as the "gimmick"--and always there must be a beguiling opening gambit or a tinkling series of glittering baits to coax the jaded reader onward. The opening of this piece, the Lord forgive us, is not such a bad example. Shock 'em, sock 'em, invade their minds by false pretenses if necessary, but at all costs make 'em read! Inti

Intimate personal revelations are always good. Or, better yet, the tacit promise of the straight inside dope.

> "Only three men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be," begins another suave piece by a man who has just spent six happy weeks glued to a bar stool in Moscow. Several thousand words later we learn from "unimpeachable authority" or "an informed source whose identity must not be divulged that the big three are it none other than Joe himself, Joe's secret fairhaired boy, Vladimir, and an aged monk in Joe's old hometown back in Georgia. (That the informed source and the writer himself made it at least five initially in on the know is comstem omitted ostensibly on the theory of literary license.) One is presumably thrilled to be privy to such world-shaking revelations. One is also being softly gassed to death by literary wind.

God knows that many of the manufacturers of this pap are adroit enough. But they They have obediently Many of them are supple writers possessed of supple minds. learned all of the commandments and have faithfully observed all of the rigid hey have grown afraid offices.
Conformity is their watchword and one could rarely guess which of them taboos. wrote his pieces unless he signed them. Though he may bludgeon you with facts, never, never must the writer ever let his facts show. Like the exposed slip of a Bedizen your facts, sugar-coat them, reflect them with mirrors if you must, but upon pain of rejection never state a selemn fact and let it go; and never, never let your reader suspect that you may be exposing him to A germ of genuinely original or stimulating idea. For above all he must leave your piece as unruffled and empty as he came to it.

There must be no meat, no guts, no anger. The package must glitter and come wrapped in hygenic cellophane just like in the accompanying ads. Yes, it is infant pabalum a uniform grade retailed in the large economy size. One can be longer escape the notion that the bulk of our periodical writing, like the products of Hollywood, is cynically directed at the minds of six-year-old children. What this must be doing to the mental horizons of the readers, not to mention to the writers themselves, is faintly appalling to contemplate. Under the fluent pens of these writers everything from foreign policy to friding frigidaires becomes a gimmick; a suave, bright, sophisticated unfolding of a jig saw puzzle that turns out, lo, to have been no puzzle at all; either that or a puzzle that is created where indeed there was no puzzle before. One puts up his money and takes his choice. Anyway there'll be a prize-fight on Channel Two in twenty minutes. Tomorrow all will be go forgotten.

Why must this sorry state of affairs prevail? Is it that there are too many magazines demanding too much pap and—conversely—too few initiates (that is, writers who have learned the magic formula) writing way too much about that which they do not know, and about that which they do not genuinely feel?

Is it true that the lack of any emotional as well as intellectual involvement with the subject is at once the curse and sure signpost of this apply sort of writing? One thing is sure: the net result is that those of the really

stimulating thinkers in our midst who also have the gift of words are either being driven to adopt the glib formula or are being driven over to the low-circulation, low-paying literary or esoteric periodicals. In the one field their message necessarily remains beclouded by pap and in the other it is completely ignored by the Great Starved American Public. The latter are the losers both ways.

It is a frequently repeated and doubtlessly sound proposition that an informed public opinion is one of the basic keystones of a sound democracy. One wonders how informed and sound the opinions are that are being currently nourished on this unending diet of pap. One also wonders whether there is an editor in our midst with the Example courage and vision to rise up and try to the popular magazine world of this arid formula writing? Equally important, is there a reading public remaining in this country that would keep him from starving while he tried it? Step up, folks! Don't miss our next installment that begins: "Phillip Panther, editor, awoke one morning with a slick magazine devoted to purveying pap, three Cadillacs, and a hundred and thirty-nine thousand dollars. He wanted suddenly to start a magazine for adults."

Read all about it, folks! It's a sorry sorry story.

Old first page. THE GIANT ECONOMY SIZE John D. Voelker The great bulk of non-fiction writing appearing in our popular magazines today is as smooth as old brandy, as soothing as an old lullaby -- and as empty of intellectual content as a gourd. For the most part it is a form of infant pabulum made from a rigid formula guaranteed to prevent growth in the child. One must admit that most of it is written with a brisk air of conviction and a perky sort of brightness. But the end result is sheer intellectual starvation. Reading this stuff day after day is like trying to live on ersatz food: one has a temporary sense of repletion, occasionally even of satiety-but all the while is slowly dying of mental malnutrition. The sad truth is that a whole vast segment of the American reading public is suffering from a curious form of intellectual hunger. A flood of literary hogwash is abroad in the land it has today reached epidemic proportions. "Had Truman been drunk the day the Reds invaded South Korea..." a typical smooth "think" piece might begin. Naturally the reader is at once beguiled by such an impudent notion and is thereby wheedled into discovering why the writer thinks we should have -- or should not have -- intervened in Korea, winding up with the not entirely original notion that the course of history might have been changed if ... "On October seventh 1948 Cora Kennedy possessed an arthritic husband, an old Chev and one hundred and thirty-nine dollars" another of the cornier of these pieces might begin. Is gay, indomitable Cora downhearted? Hell no. Instead she parks her arthritic spouse with a maiden aunt, leaps into the Chev. and sails out to found a thriving diaper service or -- aided by a simple faith in God or just plain mysticism--discovers the therapeutic properties inherent in wild hazelnuts. "Today," the piece winds up, "Cora Kennedy is president and chairman of the board of Kennedy Products, Inc. with branches in all 48 states

The great bulk of non-fiction writing appearing in our popular magazine today is as smooth as old brandy, as soothing as an old lullaby—and as empty of intellectual content as a gourd. For the most part is is a form of infant pabulum made from a rigid formula guaranteed to prevent growth in the child. Viewed as exercises in rhetoric and editing most of these pieces are beautifully written, brisk with conviction and bright as a counterfeit dollar. But the poor reader is too often left afflicted with a bad case of mental malnutrition.

Reading this stuff day after day is like trying to live on ersatz food: one has a temporary sense of repletion, occasionally even of satiety—but all the while is dying of slow intellectual starvation. The sad truth is that a whole vast segment of the American reading public is suffering from a curious form of mental hunger. A flood of literary hogwash is abroad in the land; it is fast reaching epidemic proportions.

## THE GIANT ECONOMY SIZE

by

#### John D. Voelker

The great bulk of non-fiction writing appearing in our popular magazines today is as smooth as old brandy, as soothing as an old lullaby—and as empty of intellectual content as a gourd. For the most part it is a form of infant pabulum made from a rigid formula guaranteed to prevent growth in the child.

One must admit that most of it is written with a brisk air of conviction and a perky sort of brightness. But the end result is sheer intellectual starvation.

mount

Reading this stuff day after day is like trying to live on ersatz food:

one has a temporary sense of repletion, occasionally even of satiety—but all

Approximately of the American reading public is suffering from a curious

form of intellectual hunger. A flood of literary hogwash is abroad in the land;

it has today reached epidemic proportions.

"Had Truman been drunk the day the Reds invaded South Korea..." a typical smooth "think" piece might begin. Naturally the reader is at once beguiled by such an impudent notion and is thereby wheedled into discovering why the writer thinks we should have—or should not have—intervened in Korea, winding up with the not entirely original notion that the course of history might have been changed if...

"On October seventh 1948 Cora Kennedy possessed an arthritic husband, an old Chev and one hundred and thirty-nine dollars" another of the cornier of these pieces might begin. Is gay, indomitable Cora downhearted? Hell no.

Instead she parks her arthritic spouse with a maiden aunt, leaps into the Chev, and sails out to found a thriving diaper service or—aided by a simple faith in God or just plain mysticism—discovers the therapeutic properties inherent in wild hazelnuts. "Today," the piece winds up, "Cora Kennedy is president and chairman of the board of Kennedy Products, Inc. with branches in all 48 states

Esewid as exercises in abltoric and lediting, most of this pieces are beautifully, written, brisk with exercistion and bright as a countries dollar. But the poor reader is to often his a bad case of mental malnutrition.

Viewed as exercises in rhetoric and editing most of these pieces are beautifully written, brish with conviction and bright as a counterfeit dollar. But the poor reader is too often left, suffering from a back case of mental malnutation.

There follows the terres splendid rival finion men. hased upon congection and runor, of the Mutath wracking the Polithbord. Polithero (It is always comforting to enviring ones rivale as being destroyed from intermal Past feet and presumably captured insipulting once and hour or often top often The compulsions to write are usually as synthetic re as the product. There waste of creative talent It is a war of greats. Buzzing as of grats.

### THE GIANT ECONOMY SIZE

by

# John D. Voelker

The great bulk of non-fiction writing appearing in our popular magazines today is as smooth as old brandy, as soothing as an old lullaby—and as empty of intellectual content as a gourd. For the most part it is a form of infant pabulum made from a rigid formula guaranteed to prevent growth in the child. Viewed as exercises in rhetoric and editing most of these pieces are beautifully written, brisk with conviction and bright as a counterfeit dollar. But the poor reader is too often left afflicted with a bad case of mental malnutrition.

Reading this stuff day after day is like trying to live on ersatz food: one has a temporary sense of repletion, occasionally even of satiety—but all the while is dying of slow intellectual starvation. The sad truth is that a whole vast segment of the American reading public is suffering from a curious form of mental hunger. A flood of literary hogwash is abroad in the land; it is fast reaching epidemic proportions.

"Had Truman been drunk the day the Reds invaded South Korea..." a typical smooth "think" piece might begin. Naturally the reader is at once beguiled by such an impudent notion and is thereby wheedled into discovering why the writer thinks we should have—er should not have—intervened in Korea, winding up with the not entirely original notion that the course of history might have been changed if...

"On October seventh 1948 Cora Kennedy possessed an arthritic husband, an old Chev and one hundred and thirty-nine dollars" another of the cornier of these pieces might begin. Is gay, indomitable Cora downhearted? Hell no.

Instead she parks her arthritic spouse with a maiden aunt, leaps into the Chev, and sails out to found a thriving diaper service or—aided by a simple faith in God or just plain mysticism—discovers the therapeutic properties inherent in wild hazelnuts. "Today," the piece winds up, "Cora Kennedy is president and chairman of the board of Kennedy Products, Inc. with branches in all 48 states

A

Then follows a familiar rehash, necessarily based upon ton conjecture and rumor, of the flaming pialonsies reputedly wracking the Polithero (it is always sometime succeptable sometimes and editorially security acceptable comforting to picture only rivals as being destroyed by internal cancer), accompanied by some sprightly internal cancer), accompanied by some sprightly vigrettes of the various clashing, junior iron men.

and the Virgin Islands." And, oh yes, Cora's husband was cured of his arthritis by her way with wild hazelnuts, and has just won a hundred-yard dash. Now ain't that just dandy?

In this sort of magazine writing certain commandments have grown inviolate. Always there must be the angle-known to the trade as the "gimmick"—and always there must be a beguiling opening gambit or a tinkling series of glittering baits to coax the jaded reader onward. The opening of this piece, the Lord forgive us, is not such a bad example. Shock 'em, sock 'em, invade their minds by false pretenses if necessary, but at all costs make 'em read! Intimate personal revelations are always good. Or, better yet, the tacit promise of the straight inside dope.

"Only three men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be," segme in the arresting of the first fine of another suave piece by a man who has just spent six happy weeks glued to a bar stool in Moscow. Several thousand words later we learn from "unimpeachable authority" or from "an informed source whose identity must not be divulged" that the big three are none other than Joe himself, Joe's secret fairhaired boy, Vladimir, and an aged monk in Joe's old hometown back in Georgia. (That the informed source and the writer himself made it at least five initially in on the know is emitted ostensibly on the theory of literary license.) One is presumably thrilled to be privy to such world-shaking revelations. One is also being softly gassed to death by literary wind.

God knows that many of the manufacturers of this pap are adroit enough.

Many of them are supple writers possessed of supple minds. But they have
obediently learned all of the commandments and have faithfully observed all of
the rigid taboos. They have also grown mortally afraid of new ideas. Conformity is their watchword and one could rarely guess which of them wrote his
pieces unless he signed them. Though he may bludgeon you with facts, never,
never must the writer let his facts show. Like the exposed slip of a spinster,
that is the ultimate obscenity; that is commandment Number One: Bedizen your
facts, sugar-coat them, reflect them with mirrors if you must, but upon pain of
rejection never state a simple fact and let it go; and never, never let your

TAKE A

strictly

or stimulating idea. For above all he must leave your piece as unruffled and empty as he came to it.

There must be no meat, no guts, no anger. All must be urbanity and gladness. The package must glitter and come wrapped in hygenic cellophane just like in the accompanying ads. The pabulum comes in uniform grade and is retailed in the giant economy size... Can one longer escape the notion that the bulk of our periodical writing, like the products of Hollywood, is cynically directed at the minds of retarded six-year-old children? What all this must be doing to the mental horizons of the readers, not to mention to the writers themselves, is faintly appalling to contemplate. Under the fluent pens of these writers everything from foreign policy to frigidaires becomes a gimmick; turns out little have beautiful suffer that is created where indeed there was no puzzle before. One puts up his money and takes his choice. Anyway there'll be a prize-fight on Channel Two in twenty minutes.

why must this sorry state of affairs prevail? Is it that there are too many magazines demanding too much pap and—conversely—too few initiates (that is, writers who have learned the magic formula) writing way too much about that which they do not know, and about that which they do not genuinely feel? Is it true that the lack of any real emotional and intellectual involvement with the subject is at once the curse and sure signpost of this barren sort of writing? One the Compularious to write for offends commercial and synthetic and containing thinkers in our midst who also have the gift of words are either being driven to adopt the glib formula or are being driven over to the low-circulation, low-paying literary or esoteric periodicals. In the one field their message necessarily remains crusted and beclouded by pap and in the other it is completely ignored by the Great Starved American Public. The latter are the losers both ways.

It is a frequently repeated and doubtlessly sound proposition that an informed public opinion is one of the basic keystones of a sound democracy.

One wonders how informed and sound the opinions are that are being currently nourished on this unending diet of pap. One also wonders whether there is an editor in our midst with the courage and vision to rise up and try to free the popular magazine world from the grip of this arid formula writing? Equally important, is there a reading public remaining in this country that would keep him from starving while he tried it? Step up, folks! Don't miss our next installment that begins: "Phillip Panther, editor, awoke one morning with a slick magazine devoted to purveying pap, three Cadillacs, and a hundred and thirty-nine thousand dollars. He wanted suddenly to start a magazine for adults."

Read all about it, folks! It's a sorry sorry story.

harrowing

a voit mental tesiste from I enclose a certacal essay called "Pappinsteine Monster" my qualifications to write it are years of exposure to the sonhappy subject matter, It is my personal Emuncipation proclamation from pap. Sincerely,

and the Virgin Islands." And, oh yes, Cora's husband was cured of his arthritis by her way with wild hazelnuts, and has just won a hundred-yard dash. Now ain't that just dandy.

In this sort of magazine writing certain commandments have grown inviolate. Always there must be the angle—known to the trade as the "gimmick"—and always there must be a beguiling opening gambit or a tinkling series of glittering baits to coax the jaded reader onward. The opening of this piece, the Lord forgive us, is not such a bad example. Shock 'em, sock 'em, invade their minds by false pretenses if necessary, but at all costs make 'em read! Intimate personal revelations are always good. Or, better yet, the tacit promise of the straight inside dope.

"Only three men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be," is the arresting opening line of another suave piece by a man who has just spent six happy weeks glued to a bar stool in Moscow. Then follows a familiar rehash, necessarily based upon conjecture and rumor, of the internal jealousies reputedly wracking the Politburo (it is always a pleasant superstition to picture one's rivals as being destroyed by weevils from within), accompanied by some sprightly vignettes of the various glowering junior iron men. Several thousand words later we learn from "unimpeachable authority" or from "an informed source whose identity must not be divulged" that the big three are none other than Joe himself, Joe's secret fairhaired boy, Vladimir, and an aged monk in Joe's old hometown back in Georgia. One is presumably thrilled to be privy to such world-shaking revelations. One is also being softly gassed to death by literary wind.

and the Virgin Islands." And, oh yes, Cora's husband was cured of his arthritis by her way with wild hazelnuts, and has just won a hundred-yard dash. Now ain't that just dandy.

In this sort of magazine writing certain commandments have grown inviolate. Always there must be the angle—known to the trade as the "gimmick"—and always there must be a beguiling opening gambit or a tinkling series of glittering baits to coax the jaded reader onward. The opening of this piece, the Lord forgive us, is not such a bad example. Shock 'em, sock 'em, invade their minds by false pretenses if necessary, but at all costs make 'em read! Intimate personal revelations are always good. Or, better yet, the tacit promise of the straight inside dope.

"Only three men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be," is the arresting opening line of another suave piece by a man who has just spent six happy weeks glued to a bar stool in Moscow. Then follows a familiar rehash, necessarily based upon conjecture and rumor, of the internal jealousies reputedly wracking the Politburo (it is always a pleasant superstition to picture one's rivals as being destroyed by weevils from within), accompanied by some sprightly vignettes of the various glowering junior iron men. Several thousand words later we learn from "unimpeachable authority" or from "an informed source whose identity must not be divulged" that the big three are none other than Joe himself, Joe's secret fairhaired boy, Vladimir, and an aged monk in Joe's old hometown back in Georgia. One is presumably thrilled to be privy to such world-shaking revelations. One is also being softly gassed to death by literary wind.

and the Virgin Islands." And, oh yes, Cora's husband was cured of his arthritis by her way with wild hazelnuts, and has just won a hundred-yard dash. Now ain't that just dandy?

In this sort of magazine writing certain commandments have grown inviolate. Always there must be the angle-known to the trade as the "gimmick"—and always there must be a beguiling opening gambit or a tinkling series of glittering baits to coax the jaded reader onward. The opening of this piece, the Lord forgive us, is not such a bad example. Shock 'em, sock 'em, invade their minds by false pretenses if necessary, but at all costs make 'em read! Intimate personal revelations are always good. Or, better yet, the tacit promise of the straight inside dope.

"Only three men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be," is the arresting opening line of another suave piece by a man who has just spent six happy weeks glued to a bar stool in Moscow. Then follows a familiar rehash, necessarily based upon conjecture and rumor, of the flaming jealousies reputedly a pleasant superstition to the properties of the Politburo (it is always comforting and editorially assentable to picture one's rivals as being destroyed by internal cancer), adcompanied by some sprightly vignettes of the various glowering junior iron men. Several thousand words later we learn from "unimpeachable authority" or from "an informed source whose identity must not be divulged" that the big three are none other than Joe himself, Joe's secret fairhaired boy, Vladimir, and an aged monk in Joe's old hometown back in Georgia. One is presumably thrilled to be privy to such world-shaking revelations. One is also being softly gassed to death by literary wind.

Ald THE GIANT ECONOMY SIZE by John D. Voelker The great bulk of non-fiction writing appearing in our popular magazines today is as smooth as old brandy, as soothing as an old lullaby-and as empty of intellectual content as a gourd. For the most part it is a form of infant pabulum made from a rigid formula guaranteed to prevent growth in the child. Viewed as exercises in rhetoric and editing most of these pieces are beautifully written, brisk with conviction and bright as a counterfeit dollar. But the poor reader is too often left afflicted with a bad case of mental malnutrition. Reading this stuff day after day is like trying to live on ersatz food: one has a temporary sense of repletion, occasionally even of satiety-but all the while is dying of slow intellectual starvation. The sad truth is that a whole vast segment of the American reading public is suffering from a curious form of mental hunger. A flood of literary hogwash is abroad in the land; it is fast reaching epidemic proportions. "Had Truman been drunk the day the Reds invaded South Korea..." a typical smooth "think" piece might begin. Naturally the reader is at once beguiled by such an impudent notion and is thereby wheedled into discovering why the writer thinks we should have -- or should not have -- intervened in Korea, winding up with the not entirely original notion that the course of history might have been changed if ... "On October seventh 1948 Cora Kennedy possessed an arthritic husband, an old Chev and one hundred and thirty-nine dollars" another of the cornier of these pieces might begin. Is gay, indomitable Cora downhearted? Hell no. Instead she parks her arthritic spouse with a maiden aunt, leaps into the Chev, and sails out to found a thriving diaper service or -- aided by a simple faith in God or just plain mysticism--discovers the therapeutic properties inherent in wild hazelnuts. "Today," the piece winds up, "Cora Kennedy is president and chairman of the board of Kennedy Products, Inc. with branches in all 48 states

and the Virgin Islands." And, oh yes, Cora's husband was cured of his arthritis by her way with wild hazelnuts, and has just won a hundred-yard dash. Now ain't that just dandy?

In this sort of magazine writing certain commandments have grown inviolate.

In this sort of magazine writing certain commandments have grown inviolate. Always there must be the angle—known to the trade as the "gimmick"—and always there must be a beguiling opening gambit or a tinkling series of glittering baits to coax the jaded reader onward. The opening of this piece, the Lord forgive us, is not such a bad example. Shock 'em, sock 'em, invade their minds by false pretenses if necessary, but at all costs make 'em read! Intimate personal revelations are always good. Or, better yet, the tacit promise of the straight inside dope.

"Only three men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be," is the arresting opening line of another suave piece by a man who has just spent six happy weeks glued to a bar stool in Moscow. Then follows a familiar rehash, necessarily based upon conjecture and rumor, of the flaming jealousies reputedly wracking the Politburo (it is always comforting and editorially acceptable to picture one's rivals as being destroyed by internal cancer), accompanied by some sprightly vignettes of the various glowering junior iron men. Several thousand words later we learn from "unimpeachable authority" or from "an informed source whose identity must not be divulged" that the big three are none other than Joe himself, Joe's secret fairhaired boy, Vladimir, and an aged monk in Joe's old hometown back in Georgia. One is presumably thrilled to be privy to such world-shaking revelations. One is also being softly gassed to death by literary wind.

is the ultimate obscenity; that is commandment Number One! Bedizen your facts, sugar-coat them, reflect them with mirrors if you must, but upon pain of rejection never state a simple fact and let it go; and never, never let your reader suspect that you may be exposing him to the germ of a genuinely original or stimulating idea. For above all he must leave your piece as unruffled and empty as he came to it.

There must be no meat, no guts, no anger. Knock-down controversy is strictly verboten. All must be urbanity and gladness. The package must glitter and come wrapped in hygenic cellophane just like in the accompanying ads. The pabulum comes in uniform grade and is retailed in the giant economy size...

Can one longer escape the notion that the bulk of our periodical writing, like the products of Hollywood, is cynically directed at the minds of retarded six-year-old children? What all this must be doing to the mental horizons of the readers, not to mention to the writers themselves, is faintly appalling to contemplate. Under the fluent pens of these writers everything from foreign policy to frigidaires becomes a gimmick; a suave, bright, sophisticated unfolding of a jig saw puzzle that turns out, lo, to have been no puzzle at all; either that or a puzzle is created where indeed there was no puzzle before. One puts up his money and takes his choice. Anyway there'll be a prize-fight on Channel Two in twenty minutes. Tomorrow all will be forgotten.

Why must this sorry state of affairs prevail? Is it that there are too many magazines demanding too much pap and—conversely—too few initiates (that is, writers who have learned the magic formula) writing way too much about that which they do not know, and about that which they do not genuinely <u>feel</u>? Is it true that the lack of any real emotional and intellectual involvement with the subject is at once the curse and sure signpost of this barren sort of writing? Are the compulsions to write too often as commercial and symthetic as the end product itself? One thing is sure: the net result is that those of the really stimulating thinkers in our midst who also have the gift of words are either being driven to adopt the glib formula or are being driven over to the low-circulation, low-paying literary or esoteric periodicals. In the one field

- 3 -

their message necessarily remains crusted and beclouded by pap and in the other it is completely ignored by the Great Starved American Public. The latter are the losers both ways.

It is a frequently repeated and doubtlessly sound proposition that an informed public opinion is one of the basic keystones of a sound democracy.

One wonders how informed and sound the opinions are that are being currently nourished on this unending diet of pap. One also wonders whether there is an

One wonders how informed and sound the opinions are that are being currently nourished on this unending diet of pap. One also wonders whether there is an editor in our midst with the courage and vision to rise up and try to free the popular magazine world from the grip of this arid formula writing? Equally important, is there a reading public remaining in this country that would keep him from starving while he tried it? Step up, folks! Don't miss our next installment that begins: "Phillip Panther, editor, awoke one morning with a slick magazine devoted to purveying pap, three Cadillacs, and a hundred and thirty-nine thousand dollars. He wanted suddenly to start a magazine for adults."

Read all about it, folks! It's a sorry sorry story.

old

#### THE GIANT ECONOMY SIZE

by

John D. Voelker

The great bulk of non-fiction writing appearing in our popular magazines today is as smooth as old brandy, as soothing as an old lullaby—and as empty of intellectual content as a gourd. For the most part it is a form of infant pabulum made from a rigid formula guaranteed to prevent growth in the child. Viewed as exercises in rhetoric and editing most of these pieces are beautifully written, brisk with conviction and bright as a counterfeit dollar. But the poor reader is too often left afflicted with a bad case of mental malnutrition.

Reading this stuff day after day is like trying to live on ersatz food: one has a temporary sense of repletion, occasionally even of satiety—but all the while is dying of slow intellectual starvation. The sad truth is that a whole vast segment of the American reading public is suffering from a curious form of mental hunger. A flood of literary hogwash is abroad in the land; it is fast reaching epidemic proportions.

"Had Truman been drunk the day the Reds invaded South Korea..." a typical smooth "think" piece might begin. Naturally the reader is at once beguiled by such an impudent notion and is thereby wheedled into discovering why the writer thinks we should have—or should not have—intervened in Korea, winding up with the not entirely original notion that the course of history might have been changed if...

"On October seventh 1948 Cora Kennedy possessed an arthritic husband, an old Chev and one hundred and thirty-nine dollars" another of the cornier of these pieces might begin. Is gay, indomitable Cora downhearted? Hell no.

Instead she parks her arthritic spouse with a maiden aunt, leaps into the Chev, and sails out to found a thriving diaper service or—aided by a simple faith in God or just plain mysticism—discovers the therapeutic properties inherent in wild hazelnuts. "Today," the piece winds up, "Cora Kennedy is president and chairman of the board of Kennedy Products, Inc. with branches in all 48 states

#### THE GIANT ECONOMY SIZE

by

#### John D. Voelker

The great bulk of non-fiction writing appearing in our popular magazines today is as smooth as old brandy, as soothing as an old lullaby—and as empty of intellectual content as a gourd. For the most part it is a form of infant pabulum made from a rigid formula guaranteed to prevent growth in the child.

\*\*Description\*\*

One must admit that most of it is written with a brisk air of conviction and a perky sort of brightness. But the end result is sheer intellectual starvation.

Reading this stuff day after day is like trying to live on ersatz food:

one has a temporary sense of repletion, occasionally even of satiety—but all

the while is slowly dying of mental malnutrition. The sad truth is that a

whole vast segment of the American reading public is suffering from a curious

form of intellectual hunger. A flood of literary hogwash is abroad in the land;

it has today reached epidemic proportions.

"Had Truman been drunk the day the Reds invaded South Korea..." a typical smooth "think" piece might begin. Naturally the reader is at once beguiled by such an impudent notion and is thereby wheedled into discovering why the writer thinks we should have—or should not have—intervened in Korea, winding up with the not entirely original notion that the course of history might have been changed if...

"On October seventh 1948 Cora Kennedy possessed an arthritic husband, an old Chev and one hundred and thirty-nine dollars" another of the cornier of these pieces might begin. Is gay, indomitable Cora downhearted? Hell no. Instead she parks her arthritic spouse with a maiden aunt, leaps into the Chev, and sails out to found a thriving diaper service or—aided by a simple faith in God or just plain mysticism—discovers the therapeutic properties inherent in wild hazelnuts. "Today," the piece winds up, "Cora Kennedy is president and chairman of the board of Kennedy Products, Inc. with branches in all 48 states

and the Virgin Islands." And, oh yes, Cora's husband was cured of his arthritis by her way with wild hazelnuts, and has just won a hundred-yard dash. Now ain't that just dandy?

In this sort of magazine writing certain commandments have grown inviolate. Always there must be the angle—known to the trade as the "gimmick"—and always there must be a beguiling opening gambit or a tinkling series of glittering baits to coax the jaded reader onward. The opening of this piece, the Lord forgive us, is not such a bad example. Shock 'em, sock 'em, invade their minds by false pretenses if necessary, but at all costs make 'em read! Intimate personal revelations are always good. Or, better yet, the tacit promise of the straight inside dope.

"Only three men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be," begins another suave piece by a man who has just spent six happy weeks glued to a bar stool in Moscow. Several thousand words later we learn from "unimpeachable authority" or from "an informed source whose identity must not be divulged" that the big three are none other than Joe himself, Joe's secret fairhaired boy, Vladimir, and an aged monk in Joe's old hometown back in Georgia. (That the informed source and the writer himself made it at least five initially in on the know is emitted ostensibly on the theory of literary license.) One is presumably thrilled to be privy to such world-shaking revelations. One is also being softly gassed to death by literary wind.

God knows that many of the manufacturers of this pap are adroit enough.

Many of them are supple writers possessed of supple minds. But they have obediently learned all of the commandments and have faithfully observed all of the rigid taboos. They have also grown mortally afraid of new ideas. Conformity is their watchword and one could rarely guess which of them wrote his pieces unless he signed them. Though he may bludgeon you with facts, never, never must the writer let his facts show. Like the exposed slip of a spinster, that is the ultimate obscenity; that is commandment Number One! Bedizen your facts, sugar-coat them, reflect them with mirrors if you must, but upon pain of rejection never state a simple fact and let it go; and never, never let your

reader suspect that you may be exposing him to the germ of a genuinely original or stimulating idea. For above all he must leave your piece as unruffled and empty as he came to it.

There must be no meat, no guts, no anger. All must be urbanity and gladness. The package must glitter and come wrapped in hygenic cellophane just like in the accompanying ads. The pabulum comes in uniform grade and is retailed in the giant economy size. Can one longer escape the notion that the bulk of our periodical writing, like the products of Hollywood, is cynically directed at the minds of retarded six-year-old children? What all this must be doing to the mental horizons of the readers, not to mention to the writers themselves, is faintly appalling to contemplate. Under the fluent pens of these writers everything from foreign policy to frigidaires becomes a gimmick; turner out, lo, to have been not purple at all; either that or a fourth a suave, bright, sophisticated unfolding of a jig saw puzzle that is created where indeed there was no puzzle before. One puts up his money and takes his choice. Anyway there'll be a prize-fight on Channel Two in twenty minutes.

Why must this sorry state of affairs prevail? Is it that there are too many magazines demanding too much pap and—conversely—too few initiates (that is, writers who have learned the magic formula) writing way too much about that which they do not know, and about that which they do not genuinely feel? Is it true that the lack of any real emotional and intellectual involvement with the subject is at once the curse and sure signpost of this barren sort of writing? One thing is sure: the net result is that those of the really stimulating thinkers in our midst who also have the gift of words are either being driven to adopt the glib formula or are being driven over to the low-circulation, low-paying literary or esoteric periodicals. In the one field their message necessarily remains crusted and beclouded by pap and in the other it is completely ignored by the Great Starved American Public. The latter are the losers both ways.

It is a frequently repeated and doubtlessly sound proposition that an informed public opinion is one of the basic keystones of a sound democracy.

One wonders how informed and sound the opinions are that are being currently nourished on this unending diet of pap. One also wonders whether there is an editor in our midst with the courage and vision to rise up and try to free the popular magazine world from the grip of this arid formula writing? Equally important, is there a reading public remaining in this country that would keep him from starving while he tried it? Step up, folks! Don't miss our next installment that begins: "Phillip Panther, editor, awoke one morning with a slick magazine devoted to purveying pap, three Cadillacs, and a hundred and thirty-nine thousand dollars. He wanted suddenly to start a magazine for adults."

Read all about it, folks! It's a sorry sorry story.

There return

#### THE GIANT ECONOMY SIZE

by

#### John D. Voelker

The great bulk of non-fiction writing appearing in our popular magazines today is as smooth as old brandy, as soothing as an old lullaby—and as empty of intellectual content as a gourd. For the most part it is a form of infant pabulum made from a rigid formula guaranteed to prevent growth in the child. Viewed as exercises in rhetoric and editing most of these pieces are beautifully written, brisk with conviction and bright as a counterfeit dollar. But the poor reader is too often left afflicted with a bad case of mental malnutrition.

Reading this stuff day after day is like trying to live on ersatz food: one has a temporary sense of repletion, occasionally even of satiety—but all the while is dying of slow intellectual starvation. The sad truth is that a whole vast segment of the American reading public is suffering from a curious form of mental hunger. A flood of literary hogwash is abroad in the land; it is fast reaching epidemic proportions.

"Had Truman been drunk the day the Reds invaded South Korea..." a typical smooth "think" piece might begin. Naturally the reader is at once beguiled by such an impudent notion and is thereby wheedled into discovering why the writer thinks we should have—or should not have—intervened in Korea, winding up with the not entirely original notion that the course of history might have been changed if...

"On October seventh 1948 Cora Kennedy possessed an arthritic husband, an old Chev and one hundred and thirty-nine dollars" another of the cornier of these pieces might begin. Is gay, indomitable Cora downhearted? Hell no.

Instead she parks her arthritic spouse with a maiden aunt, leaps into the Chev, and sails out to found a thriving diaper service or—aided by a simple faith in God or just plain mysticism—discovers the therapeutic properties inherent in wild hazelnuts. "Today," the piece winds up, "Cora Kennedy is president and chairman of the board of Kennedy Products, Inc. with branches in all 48 states

and the Virgin Islands." And, oh yes, Cora's husband was cured of his arthritis by her way with wild hazelnuts, and has just won a hundred-yard dash. Now ain't that just dandy?

In this sort of magazine writing certain commandments have grown inviolate. Always there must be the angle—known to the trade as the "gimmick"—and always there must be a beguiling opening gambit or a tinkling series of glittering baits to coax the jaded reader onward. The opening of this piece, the Lord forgive us, is not such a bad example. Shock 'em, sock 'em, invade their minds by false pretenses if necessary, but at all costs make 'em read! Intimate personal revelations are always good. Or, better yet, the tacit promise of the straight inside dope.

"Only three men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be," begins another suave piece by a man who has just spent six happy weeks glued to a bar stool in Moscow. Several thousand words later we learn from "unimpeachable authority" or from "an informed source whose identity must not be divulged" that the big three are none other than Joe himself, Joe's secret fairhaired boy, Vladimir, and an aged monk in Joe's old hometown back in Georgia. (That the informed source and the writer himself made it at least five initially in on the know is emitted ostensibly on the theory of literary license.) One is presumably thrilled to be privy to such world-shaking revelations. One is also being softly gassed to death by literary wind.

God knows that many of the manufacturers of this pap are adroit enough.

Many of them are supple writers possessed of supple minds. But they have obediently learned all of the commandments and have faithfully observed all of the rigid taboos. They have also grown mortally afraid of new ideas. Conformity is their watchword and one could rarely guess which of them wrote his pieces unless he signed them. Though he may bludgeon you with facts, never, never must the writer let his facts show. Like the exposed slip of a spinster, that is the ultimate obscenity; that is commandment Number One! Bedizen your facts, sugar-coat them, reflect them with mirrors if you must, but upon pain of rejection never state a simple fact and let it go; and never, never let your

reader suspect that you may be exposing him to the germ of a genuinely original or stimulating idea. For above all he must leave your piece as unruffled and empty as he came to it.

There must be no meat, no guts, no anger. All must be urbanity and gladness. The package must glitter and come wrapped in hygenic cellophane just like in the accompanying ads. The pabulum comes in uniform grade and is retailed in the giant economy size. Can one longer escape the notion that the bulk of our periodical writing, like the products of Hollywood, is cynically directed at the minds of retarded six-year-old children? What all this must be doing to the mental horizons of the readers, not to mention to the writers themselves, is faintly appalling to contemplate. Under the fluent pens of these writers everything from foreign policy to frigidaires becomes a gimmick; themselves, bright, sophisticated unfolding of a jig saw puzzle that is created where indeed there was no puzzle before. One puts up his money and takes his choice. Anyway there'll be a prize-fight on Channel Two in twenty minutes.

Why must this sorry state of affairs prevail? Is it that there are too many magazines demanding too much pap and—conversely—too few initiates (that is, writers who have learned the magic formula) writing way too much about that which they do not know, and about that which they do not genuinely <u>feel</u>? Is it true that the lack of any real emotional and intellectual involvement with the subject is at once the curse and sure signpost of this barren sort of writing? One thing is sure: the net result is that those of the really stimulating thinkers in our midst who also have the gift of words are either being driven to adopt the glib formula or are being driven over to the low-circulation, low-paying literary or esoteric per odicals. In the one field their message necessarily remains crusted and beclouded by pap and in the other it is completely ignored by the Great Starved American Public. The latter are the losers both ways.

It is a frequently repeated and doubtlessly sound proposition that an informed public opinion is one of the basic keystones of a sound democracy.

One wonders how informed and sound the opinions are that are being currently nourished on this unending diet of pap. One also wonders whether there is an editor in our midst with the courage and vision to rise up and try to free the popular magazine world from the grip of this arid formula writing? Equally important, is there a reading public remaining in this country that would keep him from starving while he tried it? Step up, folks! Don't miss our next installment that begins: "Phillip Panther, editor, awoke one morning with a slick magazine devoted to purveying pap, three Cadillacs, and a hundred and thirty-nine thousand dollars. He wanted suddenly to start a magazine for adults."

Read all about it, folks! It's a sorry sorry story.

by John D. Voelker The great bulk of non-fiction writing appearing in our popular magazines today is as smooth as old brandy, as soothing as an old lullaby-and as empty of intellectual content as a gourd. For the most part it is a form of infant pabulum made from a rigid formula guaranteed to prevent growth in the child. Viewed as exercises in rhetoric and editing most of these pieces are beautifully written, brisk with conviction and bright as a counterfeit dollar. But the poor reader is too often left afflicted with a bad case of mental malnutrition. Reading this stuff day after day is like trying to live on ersatz food: one has a temporary sense of repletion, occasionally even of satiety-but all the while is dying of slow intellectual starvation. The sad truth is that a whole vast segment of the American reading public is suffering from a curious form of mental hunger. A flood of literary hogwash is abroad in the land; it is fast reaching epidemic proportions. "Had Truman been drunk the day the Reds invaded South Korea..." a typical smooth "think" piece might begin. Naturally the reader is at once beguiled by such an impudent notion and is thereby wheedled into discovering why the writer thinks we should have--or should not have--intervened in Korea, winding up with the not entirely original notion that the course of history might have been changed if ... "On October seventh 1948 Cora Kennedy possessed an arthritic husband, an old Chev and one hundred and thirty-nine dollars" another of the cornier of these pieces might begin. Is gay, indomitable Cora downhearted? Hell no. Instead she parks her arthritic spouse with a maiden aunt, leaps into the Chev, and sails out to found a thriving diaper service or -- aided by a simple faith in God or just plain mysticism--discovers the therapeutic properties inherent in wild hazelnuts. "Today," the piece winds up, "Cora Kennedy is president and chairman of the board of Kennedy Products, Inc. with branches in all 48 states

and the Virgin Islands." And, oh yes, Cora's husband was cured of his arthritis by her way with wild hazelnuts, and has just won a hundred-yard dash.

Now ain't that just dandy?

In this sort of magazine writing certain commandments have grown inviolate. Always there must be the angle-known to the trade as the "gimmick"--and always there must be a beguiling opening gambit or a tinkling series of glittering baits to coax the jaded reader onward. The opening of this piece, the Lord forgive us, is not such a bad example. Shock 'em, sock 'em, invade their minds by false pretenses if necessary, but at all costs make 'em read! Intimate personal revelations are always good. Or, better yet, the tacit promise of the straight inside dope.

"Only three men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be," is the arresting opening line of another suave piece by a man who has just spent six happy weeks glued to a bar stool in Moscow. Then follows a familiar rehash, necessarily based upon conjecture and rumor, of the internal jealousies reputedly wracking the Politburo (it is always a pleasant superstition to picture one's rivals as being destroyed by weevils from within), accompanied by some sprightly vignettes of the various glowering junior iron men. Several thousand words later we learn from "unimpeachable authority" or from "an informed source whose identity must not be divulged" that the big three are none other than Joe himself, Joe's secret fairhaired boy, Vladimir, and an aged monk in Joe's old hometown back in Georgia. One is presumably thrilled to be privy to such world-shaking revelations. One is also being softly gassed to death by literary wind.

is the ultimate obscenity; that is commandment Number One! Bedizen your facts, sugar-coat them, reflect them with mirrors if you must, but upon pain of rejection never state a simple fact and let it go; and never, never let your reader suspect that you may be exposing him to the germ of a genuinely original or stimulating idea. For above all he must leave your piece as unruffled and empty as he came to it.

There must be no meat, no guts, no anger. Knock-down controversy is 

Mandelling meld met appear.

Strictly verboten. All must be urbanity and gladness. The package must glitter 
and come wrapped in hygenic cellophane just like in the accompanying ads. The 
pabulum comes in uniform grade and is retailed in the giant economy size...

Can one longer escape the notion that the bulk of our periodical writing, like 
the products of Hollywood, is cynically directed at the minds of retarded sixyear-old children? What all this must be doing to the mental horizons of the 
readers, not to mention to the writers themselves, is faintly appalling to 
contemplate. Under the fluent pens of these writers everything from foreign 
policy to frigidaires becomes a gimmlok; a suave, bright, sophisticated unfolding of a jig saw puzzle that turns out, lo, to have been no puzzle at all; 
either that or a puzzle is created where indeed there was no puzzle before. One 
puts up his money and takes his choice. Anyway there'll be a prizé-fight on 
Channel Two in twenty minutes. Tomorrow all will be forgotten.

why must this sorry state of affairs prevail? Is it that there are too many magazines demanding too much pap and—conversely—too few initiates (that is, writers who have learned the magic formula) writing way too much about that which they do not know, and about that which they do not ganuinely <u>feel</u>? Is it true that the lack of any real emotional and intellectual involvement with the subject is at once the curse and sure signpost of this barren sort of writing? Are the compulsions to write too often as commercial and symthetic as the end product itself? One thing is sure: the net result is that those of the really stimulating thinkers in our midst who also have the gift of words are either being driven to adopt the glib formula or are being driven over to the low-circulation, low-paying literary or esoteric periodicals. In the one field

5/16/52 Papenstein's monster the Have our writers, become at the Papenstern reader? reader? Papenstein's mouster

their message necessarily remains crusted and beclouded by pap and in the other it is completely ignored by the Great Starved American Public. The latter the loser both ways.

It is a frequently repeated and doubtlessly sound proposition that an

informed public opinion is one of the basic keystones of a count democracy.

One wonders how informed and sound the opinions are that are being currently nourished on this unending diet of pap. One also wonders whether there is an editor in our midst with the courage and vision to rise up and try to free the popular magazine world from the grip of this arid formula writing? Equally important, is there a reading public remaining in this country that would keep the four editors and writing last become both the creators and writing him from starving while he tried it? Astep up, folks: Don't miss our next installment that begins: "Phillip Panther, editor, awoke one morning with a stallment devoted to surveying non three Codillage, and a hyperral and

stallment that begins: "Phillip Panther, editor, awoke one morning with a slick magazine devoted to purveying pap, three Gadillacs, and a hundred and thirty-nine thousand dollars. He wanted suddenly to start a magazine for adults."

Papenstein reader?

Read all about it, folks! It's a sorry sorry story.

## PAPPENSTEINS MONSTER

John D. Voelker

The great bulk of non-fiction writing appearing in our popular magazines today is as smooth as old brandy, as soothing as an old lullaby -- and as empty of intellectual content as a gourd. For the most part it is a form of infant pabulum made from a rigid formula guaranteed to prevent growth in the child. Viewed as exercises in rhetoric and editing most of these pieces are beautifully written, brisk with conviction and bright as a counterfeit dollar. But the poor reader is too often left afflicted with a bad case of mental malnutrition.

Reading this stuff day after day is like trying to live on ersatz food: one has a temporary sense of repletion, occasionally even of satisty -- but all the while is dying of slow intellectual starvation. The sad truth is that a whole vast segment of the American reading public is suffering from a curious form of mental hunger. A flood of literary hogwash is appoad in the land is fast reaching epidemic proportions. Induct these are fat little magazine devoted to refining an edigesting the stuff so that only the pure for "Had Truman been drunk the day the Reds invaded South Korea..." a typical

smooth "think" piece might begin. Naturally the reader is at once beguiled by such an impudent notion and is thereby wheedled into discovering why the writer thinks we should have--or should not have--intervened in Korea, winding up with the not entirely original notion that the course of history might have been changed if ...

"On October seventh 1948 Cora Kennedy possessed an arthritic husband, an old Chev and one hundred and thirty-nine dollars" another of the cornier of these pieces might begin. Is gay, indomitable Cora downhearted? Hell no. Instead she parks her arthritic spouse with a maiden aunt, leaps into the Chev, and sails out to found a thriving diaper service or -- aided by a simple faith in God or just plain mysticism--discovers the therapeutic properties inherent in wild hazelnuts. "Today," the piece winds up, "Cora Kennedy is president and chairman of the board of Kennedy Products, Inc. with branches in all 48 states

and the Virgin Islands." And, oh yes, Cora's husband was cured of his arthritis by her way with wild hazelnuts, and has just won a hundred-yard dash. Now ain't that just dandy.

In this sort of magazine writing certain commandments have grown inviolate. Always there must be the angle-known to the trade as the "gimmick"--and always there must be a beguiling opening gambit or a tinkling series of glittering baits to coax the jaded reader onward. The opening of this piece, the Lord forgive us, is not such a bad example. Shook 'em, sock 'em, invade their minds by false pretenses if necessary, but at all costs make 'em read! Intimate personal revelations are always good. Or, better yet, the tacit promise of the straight inside dope.

"Only three men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be," is the arresting opening line of another suave piece by a man who has just spent six happy weeks glued to a bar stool in Moscow. Then follows a familiar rehash, necessarily based upon conjecture and rumor, of the internal jealousies reputedly wracking the Politture (it is always a pleasant superstition to picture one's rivals as being destroyed by weevile from within), accompanied by some sprightly vignettes of the various glowering junior iron men. Several thousand words later we learn from "unimpeachable authority" or from "an informed source whose identity must not be divulged" that the big three are none other than Joe himself, Joe's secret fairhaired boy, Vladimir, and an aged monk in Joe's old hometown back in Georgia. One is presumably thrilled to be privy to such world-shaking revelations. One is also being softly gassed to death by literary wind.

me mack to the

is the ultimate obscenity; that is commandment Number One! Bedizen your facts, sugar-coat them, reflect them with mirrors if you must, but upon pain of rejection never state a simple fact and let it go; and never, never let your reader suspect that you may be exposing him to the germ of a genuinely original or stimulating idea. For above all he must leave your piece as unruffled and empty as he came to it.

There must be no meat, no guts, no anger. Knock-down controversy is strictly verboten. All must be urbanity and gladness. The package must glitter and come wrapped in hygenic cellophane just like in the accompanying ads. The pabulum comes in uniform grade and is retailed in the giant economy size. In the pabulum comes in uniform grade and is retailed in the giant economy size. In the products of Hollywood, is cynically directed at the minds of retarded six-year-old children? What all this must be doing to the mental horizons of the readers, not to mention to the writers themselves, is faintly appalling to contemplate. Under the fluent pens of these writers everything from foreign policy to frigidaires becomes a gimmick; a suave, bright, sophisticated unfolding of a jig saw puzzle that turns out, lo, to have been no puzzle at all; either that or a puzzle is created where indeed there was no puzzle before. One puts up his money and takes his choice. Anyway there'll be a prize-fight on Channel Two in twenty minutes. Tomorrow all will be forgotten.

Why must this sorry state of affairs prevail? Is it that there are too many magazines demanding too much pap and—conversely—too few initiates (that is, writers who have learned the magic formula) writing way too much about that which they do not know, and about that which they do not genuinely feel? Is it true that the lack of any real emotional and intellectual involvement with the subject is at once the curse and sure signpost of this barren sort of writing? Are the compulsions to write too often as commercial and synthetic as the end product itself? One thing is sure: the net result is that those of the really stimulating thinkers in our midst who also have the gift of words are either being driven to adopt the glib formula or are being driven over to the low-circulation, low-paying literary or esoteric periodicals. In the one field

& victims of the Pappenstein reader?

their message necessarily remains crusted and beclouded by pap and in the other it is completely ignored by the Great Starved American Public. The latter are the loser both ways.

It is a frequently repeated and doubtlessly sound proposition that an informed public opinion is one of the basic keystones of a count democracy.

One wonders how informed and sound the opinions are that are being currently nourished on this unending diet of pap. One also wonders whether there is an editor in our midst with the courage and vision to rise up and try to free the popular magazine world from the grip of this arid formula writing? Equally important, is there a reading public remaining in this country that would keep on have our writing at the last the bath the creaters and helplass him from starving while he tried it? Istep up, folks! Don't miss our next installment that begins: "Phillip Panther, editor, awoke one morning with a classification of the country that would define the country that would have a classification of the country that would be start a magazine for adults."

Read all about it, folks! It's a sorry sorry story.

# PAPPENSTEIN'S MONSTER by John D. Voelker

The great bulk of non-fiction writing appearing in our popular magazines today is as smooth as old brandy, as soothing as an old lullaby—and as empty of intellectual content as a gourd. For the most part it is a form of infant pabulum made from a rigid formula guaranteed to prevent growth in the child. Viewed as exercises in rhetoric and editing most of these pieces are beautifully written, brisk with conviction and bright as a counterfeit dollar. But the poor reader is too often left afflicted with a bad case of mental malnutrition.

Reading this stuff day after day is like trying to live on ersatz food: one has a temporary sense of repletion, occasionally even of surfeit—but all the while is dying of slow intellectual starvation. The sad truth is that a whole vast segment of the American reading public is suffering from a curious form of mental hunger. A flood of literary hogwash is abroad in the land; it is fast reaching epidemic proportions. Indeed there are many fat little magazines devoted to refining and digesting the stuff so that only the pure pap remains.

"Had Truman been drunk the day the Reds invaded South Korea..." a typical smooth "think" piece might begin. Naturally the reader is at once beguiled by such an impudent notion and is thereby wheedled into discovering why the writer thinks we should have—or should not have—intervened in Korea, winding up with the not entirely original notion that the course of history might have been changed if...

"On October seventh 1948 Cora Kennedy possessed an arthritic husband, an old Chev and one hundred and thirty-nine dollars" another of the cornier of these pieces might begin. Is gay, indomitable Cora downhearted? Hell no.

Instead she parks her arthritic spouse with a maiden aunt, leaps into the Chev, and sails out to found a thriving diaper service or—aided by a simple faith in God or just plain mysticism—discovers the therapeutic properties inherent in

wild hazelnuts. "Today," the piece winds up, "Cora Kennedy is president and chairman of the board of Kennedy Products, Inc. with branches in all 48 states and the Virgin Islands." And, oh yes, Cora's husband was cured of his arthritis by her way with wild hazelnuts, and has just won a hundred-yard dash. Now ain't that just dandy?

In this sort of magazine writing certain commandments have grown inviolate. Always there must be the angle--known to the trade as the "gimmick"--and always there must be a beguiling opening gambit or a tinkling series of glittering baits to coax the jaded reader onward. The opening of this piece, the Lord forgive us, is not such a bad example. Shock 'em, sock 'em, invade their minds by false pretenses if necessary, but at all costs make 'em read! Intimate personal revelations are always good. Or, better yet, the tacit promise of the straight inside dope.

"Only three men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be," is the arresting opening line of another suave piece by a man who has just spent six happy weeks glued to a bar stool in Moscow. Then follows a familiar rehash, necessarily based upon conjecture and rumor, of the internal jealousies reputedly wracking the Politburo (it is always a pleasant superstition to picture one's rivals as being destroyed by weevils from within), accompanied by some sprightly vignettes of the various glowering junior iron men. Several thousand words later we learn from "unimpeachable authority" or from "an informed source whose identity must not be divulged" that the big three are none other than Joe himself, Joe's secret fairhaired boy, Vladimir, and an aged monk in Joe's old hometown back in Georgia. One is presumably thrilled to be privy to such world-shaking revelations. One is also being softly gassed to death by literary wind.

must the writer let his facts show. Like the exposed slip of a spinster, that is the ultimate obscenity; that is commandment Number One: Bedizen your facts, sugar-coat them, reflect them with mirrors if you must, but upon pain of rejection never state a simple fact and let it go; and never, never let your reader suspect that you may be exposing him to the germ of a genuinely original or stimulating idea. For above all he must leave your piece as unruffled and empty as he came to it.

There must be no meat, no guts, no anger. All must be urbanity and gladness. Knock-down controversy is strictly verboten and mavericks need not apply. The package must glitter and come wrapped in hygenic cellophane just like in the accompanying ads. The pabulum comes in uniform grade and is retailed in the giant economy size. Pap, one must remember, is a soft easily digested food designed for infants and invalids ... Can one longer escape the notion that the bulk of our periodical writing, like the products of Hollywood, is cynically directed at the minds of retarded six-year-old children? What all this must be doing to the mental horizons of the readers, not to mention to the writers themselves, is faintly appalling to contemplate. Under the fluent pens of these writers everything from foreign policy to frigidaires becomes a gimmick; a suave, bright, sophisticated unfolding of a jig saw puzzle that turns out, lo, to have been no puzzle at all; either that or a puzzle that is created where indeed there was no puzzle before. One puts up his money and takes his choice. Anyway there'll be a prize-fight on Channel Two in twenty minutes. Tomorrow all will be forgotten.

Why must this sorry state of affairs prevail? Is it that there are too many magazines demanding too much pap and—conversely—too few initiates (that is, writers who have learned the magic formula) writing way too much about that which they do not know, and about that which they do not genuinely <u>feel</u>? Is it true that the lack of any real emotional and intellectual involvement with the subject is at once the curse and sure signpost of this barren sort of writing? Are the compulsions to write too often as commercial and synthetic as the end product itself? One thing is sure: the net result is that those of the really

stimulating thinkers in our midst who also have the gift of words are either being driven to adopt the glib formula or are being driven over to the low-circulation, low-paying literary or esoteric periodicals. In the one field their message necessarily remains crusted and beclouded by pap and in the other it is completely ignored by the Great Starved American Public. The latter is the loser both ways.

It is a frequently repeated and doubtlessly sound proposition that an informed public opinion is one of the basic keystones of democracy. One wonders how informed and sound the opinions are that are being currently nourished on this unending diet of pap? One also wonders whether there is an editor in our midst with the courage and vision to rise up and try to free the popular magazine world from the grip of this arid formula writing? Equally important, is there a reading public remaining in this country that would keep him from starving while he tried it? Or have our editors and writers become at last both the creators and helpless victims of the Pappenstein reader?

Step up, folks! Don't miss our next imstallment that begins: "Phillip Panther, editor, awoke one morning with a slick magazine dedicated to purveying pap, three Cadillacs, and a hundred and thirty-nine thousand dollars. He wanted suddenly to start a magazine for adults."

Read all about it, folks! It's a sorry sorry story.

### PAPPENSTEIN'S MONSTER

py

John D. Voelker

The great bulk of non-fiction writing appearing in our popular magazines today is as smooth as old brandy, as soothing as an old lullaby—and as empty of intellectual content as a gourd. For the most part it is a form of infant pabulum made from a rigid formula guaranteed to prevent growth in the child. Viewed as exercises in rhetoric and editing most of these pieces are beautifully written, brisk with conviction and bright as a counterfeit dollar. But the poor reader is too often left afflicted with a bad case of mental malnutrition.

Reading this stuff day after day is like trying to live on ersatz food: one has a temporary sense of repletion, occasionally even of surfeit—but all the while is dying of slow intellectual starvation. The sad truth is that a whole vast segment of the American reading public is suffering from a curious form of mental hunger. A flood of literary hogwash is abroad in the land; it is fast reaching epidemic proportions. Indeed there are many fat little magazines devoted to refining and digesting the stuff so that only the pure pap remains.

"Had Truman been drunk the day the Reds invaded South Korea..." a typical smooth "think" piece might begin. Naturally the reader is at once beguiled by such an impudent notion and is thereby wheedled into discovering why the writer thinks we should have—or should not have—intervened in Korea, winding up with the not entirely original notion that the course of history might have been changed if...

"On October seventh 1948 Cora Kennedy possessed an arthritic husband, an old Chev and one hundred and thirty-nine dollars" another of the cornier of these pieces might begin. Is gay, indomitable Cora downhearted? Hell no.

Instead she parks her arthritic spouse with a maiden aunt, leaps into the Chev, and sails out to found a thriving diaper service or—aided by a simple faith in God or just plain mysticism—discovers the therapeutic properties inherent in

wild hazelnuts. "Today," the piece winds up, "Cora Kennedy is president and chairman of the board of Kennedy Products, Inc. with branches in all 48 states and the Virgin Islands." And, oh yes, Cora's husband was cured of his arthritis by her way with wild hazelnuts, and has just won a hundred-yard dash. Now ain't that just dandy? In this sort of magazine writing certain commandments have grown inviolate. Always there must be the angle--known to the trade as the "gimmick"--and always there must be a beguiling opening gambit or a tinkling series of glittering baits to coax the jaded reader onward. The opening of this piece, the Lord forgive us, is not such a bad example. Shock 'em, sock 'em, invade their minds by false pretenses if necessary, but at all costs make 'em read! Intimate personal revelations are always good. Or, better yet, the tacit promise of the straight inside dope. "Only three men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be," is the arresting opening line of another suave piece by a man who has just spent six happy weeks glued to a bar stool in Moscow. Then follows a familiar rehash, necessarily based upon conjecture and rumor, of the internal jealousies reputedly wracking the Politburo (it is always a pleasant superstition to picture one's rivals as being destroyed by weevils from within), accompanied by some sprightly vignettes of the various glowering junior iron men. Several thousand words later we learn from "unimpeachable authority" or from "an informed source whose identity must not be divulged" that the big three are none other than Joe himself, Joe's secret fairhaired boy, Vladimir, and an aged monk in Joe's old hometown back in Georgia. One is presumably thrilled to be privy to such world-

God knows that many of the manufacturers of this pap are adroit enough.

Many of them are supple writers possessed of supple minds. But they have obediently learned all of the commandments and have faithfully observed all of the rigid taboos. They have also grown mortally afraid of new ideas. Conformity is their watchword and one could rarely guess which of them wrote his pieces unless he signed them. Though he may bludgeon you with facts, never, never

shaking revelations. One is also being softly gassed to death by literary wind.

must the writer let his facts show. Like the exposed slip of a spinster, that is the ultimate obscenity; that is commandment Number One! Bedizen your facts, sugar-coat them, reflect them with mirrors if you must, but upon pain of rejection never state a simple fact and let it go; and never, never let your reader suspect that you may be exposing him to the germ of a genuinely original or stimulating idea. For above all he must leave your piece as unruffled and empty as he came to it.

There must be no meat, no guts, no anger. All must be urbanity and gladness. Knock-down controversy is strictly verboten and mavericks need not apply. The package must glitter and come wrapped in hygenic cellophane just like in the accompanying ads. The pabulum comes in uniform grade and is retailed in the giant economy size. Pap, one must remember, is a soft easily digested food designed for infants and invalids ... Can one longer escape the notion that the bulk of our periodical writing, like the products of Hollywood, is cynically directed at the minds of retarded six-year-old children? What all this must be doing to the mental horizons of the readers, not to mention to the writers themselves, is faintly appalling to contemplate. Under the fluent pens of these writers everything from foreign policy to frigidaires becomes a gimmick; a suave, bright, sophisticated unfolding of a jig saw puzzle that turns out, lo, to have been no puzzle at all; either that or a puzzle that is created where indeed there was no puzzle before. One puts up his money and takes his choice. Anyway there'll be a prize-fight on Channel Two in twenty minutes. Tomorrow all will be forgotten.

Why must this sorry state of affairs prevail? Is it that there are too many magazines demanding too much pap and—conversely—too few initiates (that is, writers who have learned the magic formula) writing way too much about that which they do not know, and about that which they do not genuinely <u>feel</u>? Is it true that the lack of any real emotional and intellectual involvement with the subject is at once the curse and sure signpost of this barren sort of writing? Are the compulsions to write too often as commercial and synthetic as the end product itself? One thing is sure: the net result is that those of the really

- 3 -

stimulating thinkers in our midst who also have the gift of words are either being driven to adopt the glib formula or are being driven over to the lowcirculation, low-paying literary or esoteric periodicals. In the one field their message necessarily remains crusted and beclouded by pap and in the other it is completely ignored by the Great Starved American Public. The latter is the loser both ways. It is a frequently repeated and doubtlessly sound proposition that an informed public opinion is one of the basic keystones of democracy. One wonders how informed and sound the opinions are that are being currently nourished on this unending diet of pap? One also wonders whether there is an editor in our midst with the courage and vision to rise up and try to free the popular magazine world from the grip of this arid formula writing? Equally important, is there a reading public remaining in this country that would keep him from starving while he tried it? Or have our editors and writers become at last both the creators and helpless victims of the Pappenstein reader? Step up, folks! Don't miss our next imstallment that begins: "Phillip Panther, editor, awoke one morning with a slick magazine dedicated to purveying pap, three Cadillacs, and a hundred and thirty-nine thousand dollars. He wanted suddenly to start a magazine for adults." Read all about it, folks! It's a sorry sorry story.

by

John D. Voelker

The great bulk of non-fiction writing appearing in our popular magazines today is as smooth as old brandy, as soothing as an old lullaby—and as empty of intellectual content as a gourd. For the most part it is a form of infant pabulum made from a rigid formula guaranteed to prevent growth in the child. Viewed as exercises in rhetoric and editing most of these pieces are beautifully written, brisk with conviction and bright as a counterfeit dollar. But the poor reader is too often left afflicted with a bad case of mental malnutrition.

Reading this stuff day after day is like trying to live on ersatz food: one has a temporary sense of repletion, occasionally even of surfeit—but all the while is dying of slow intellectual starvation. The sad truth is that a whole vast segment of the American reading public is suffering from a curious form of mental hunger. A flood of literary hogwash is abroad in the land; it is fast reaching epidemic proportions. Indeed there are many fat little magazines devoted to refining and digesting the stuff so that only the pure pap remains.

"Had Truman been drunk the day the Reds invaded South Korea..." a typical smooth "think" piece might begin. Naturally the reader is at once beguiled by such an impudent notion and is thereby wheedled into discovering why the writer thinks we should have—or should not have—intervened in Korea, winding up with the not entirely original notion that the course of history might have been changed if...

"On October seventh 1948 Cora Kennedy possessed an arthritic husband, an old Chev and one hundred and thirty-nine dollars" another of the cornier of these pieces might begin. Is gay, indomitable Cora downhearted? Hell no.

Instead she parks her arthritic spouse with a maiden aunt, leaps into the Chev, and sails out to found a thriving diaper service or—aided by a simple faith in God or just plain mysticism—discovers the therapeutic properties inherent in

wild hazelnuts. "Today," the piece winds up, "Cora Kennedy is president and chairman of the board of Kennedy Products, Inc. with branches in all 48 states and the Virgin Islands." And, oh yes, Cora's husband was cured of his arthritis by her way with wild hazelnuts, and has just won a hundred-yard dash.

Now ain't that just dandy?

In this sort of magazine writing certain commandments have grown inviolate. Always there must be the angle-known to the trade as the "gimmick"--and always there must be a beguiling opening gambit or a tinkling series of glittering baits to coax the jaded reader onward. The opening of this piece, the Lord forgive us, is not such a bad example. Shock 'em, sock 'em, invade their minds by false pretenses if necessary, but at all costs make 'em read! Intimate personal revelations are always good. Or, better yet, the tacit promise of the straight inside dope.

"Only three men in the world know who Stalin's successor will be," is the arresting opening line of another suave piece by a man who has just spent six happy weeks glued to a bar stool in Moscow. Then follows a familiar rehash, necessarily based upon conjecture and rumor, of the internal jealousies reputedly wracking the Politburo (it is always a pleasant superstition to picture one's rivals as being destroyed by weevils from within), accompanied by some sprightly vignettes of the various glowering junior iron men. Several thousand words later we learn from "unimpeachable authority" or from "an informed source whose identity must not be divulged" that the big three are none other than Joe himself, Joe's secret fairhaired boy, Vladimir, and an aged monk in Joe's old hometown back in Georgia. One is presumably thrilled to be privy to such world-shaking revelations. One is also being softly gassed to death by literary wind.

must the writer let his facts show. Like the exposed slip of a spinster, that is the ultimate obscenity; that is commandment Number One: Bedizen your facts, sugar-coat them, reflect them with mirrors if you must, but upon pain of rejection never state a simple fact and let it go; and never, never let your reader suspect that you may be exposing him to the germ of a genuinely original or stimulating idea. For above all he must leave your piece as unruffled and empty as he came to it.

There must be no meat, no guts, to anger. All must be urbanity and gladness. Knock-down controversy is strictly verboten and mavericks need not apply. The package must glitter and come wrapped in hygenic cellophane just like in the accompanying ads. The pabulum comes in uniform grade and is retailed in the giant economy size. Pap, one must remember, is a soft easily digested food designed for infants and invalids... Can one longer escape the notion that the bulk of our periodical writing, like the products of Hollywood, is cynically directed at the minds of retarded six-year-old children? What all this must be doing to the mental horizons of the readers, not to mention to the writers themselves, is faintly appalling to contemplate. Under the fluent pens of these writers everything from foreign policy to frigidaires becomes a gimmick; a suave, bright, sophisticated unfolding of a jig saw puzzle that turns out, lo, to have been no puzzle at all; either that or a puzzle that is created where indeed there was no puzzle before. One puts up his money and takes his choice. Anyway there'll be a prize-fight on Channel Two in twenty minutes. Tomorrow all will be forgotten.

Why must this sorry state of affairs prevail? Is it that there are too many magazines demanding too much pap and—conversely—too few initiates (that is, writers who have learned the magic formula) writing way too much about that which they do not know, and about that which they do not genuinely feel? Is it true that the lack of any real emotional and intellectual involvement with the subject is at once the curse and sure signpost of this barren sort of writing? Are the compulsions to write too often as commercial and synthetic as the end product itself? One thing is sure: the net result is that those of the really

stimulating thinkers in our midst who also have the gift of words are either being driven to adopt the glib formula or are being driven over to the low-circulation, low-paying literary or esoteric periodicals. In the one field their message necessarily remains crusted and beclouded by pap and in the other it is completely ignored by the Great Starved American Public. The latter is the loser both ways.

It is a frequently repeated and doubtlessly sound proposition that an <a href="informed">informed</a> public opinion is one of the basic keystones of democracy. One wonders how informed and sound the opinions are that are being currently nourished on this unending diet of pap? One also wonders whether there is an editor in our midst with the courage and vision to rise up and try to free the popular magazine world from the grip of this arid formula writing? Equally important, is there a reading public remaining in this country that would keep him from starving while he tried it? Or have our editors and writers become at last both the creators and helpless victims of the Pappenstein reader?

Step up, folks: Don't miss our next imstallment that begins: "Phillip Panther, editor, awoke one morning with a slick magazine dedicated to purveying pap, three Cadillacs, and a hundred and thirty-nine thousand dollars. He wanted suddenly to start a magazine for adults."

Read all about it, folks! It's a sorry sorry story.